

Exhibit 120



PO Box 769 Lake George NY 12845 (518) 685-3088
info@protectadks.org www.protectadks.org

From: Peter Goodwin <peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com>
To: Julia Tighe <jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us>
CC: "Steele Rob 'R.Steele@sandb.com'" <R.Steele@sandb.com>, "Mark Behan(mark...
Date: 11/6/2013 8:27 AM
Subject: RE: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Julie,

Thank you for all your efforts on this issue! Congratulations to you and your team!

Regards
Peter

-----Original Message-----

From: Julia Tighe [mailto:jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2013 12:47 AM
To: Peter Goodwin
Cc: Kenneth Hamm; Robert Davies
Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Peter -

looks like we made it! Ny times just flipped from likely approve to approve and board of elections showing as approved 53 to 47!

Congratulations!

Julie

>>> Peter Goodwin <peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com> 10/17/2013 9:26:01 AM >>>

Thanks for the help! Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: Julia Tighe [mailto:jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us]
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:34 AM
To: Peter Goodwin
Cc: Kenneth Hamm
Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Its premature for enabling legislation. This proposal is a straightforward land swap.

The enabling legislation will only be a land swap which can't be done until we know the appraised value of Lot 8 with the mineral value taken into consideration. There was no enabling legislation in advance for the Racquette Lake water supply or the the National Grid power line.

Prop 4 is completely different - all prop 4 says is that the situation can be settled by the legislature and the legislation lays out the process. There will still need to be another bill to actually do the land swap. (By the way = that legislation has passed the legislature but hasn't yet been acted on by the Governor.)

>>> Peter Goodwin <peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com> 10/16/2013 9:18 AM >>>

Julle,

Please see the email below. Can you provide an answer for us on this issue?

Regards
Peter

From: Mark Behan [mailto:Mark.Behan@behancom.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:12 AM
To: Steele Rob; Peter Goodwin; Mark Buckley

From: Robert Davies
To: jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us <jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us>; Peter Goodwin <...>
CC: krhamm@gw.dec.state.ny.us <krhamm@gw.dec.state.ny.us>
Date: 11/6/2013 7:36 AM
Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Peter - congratulations to NYCO from the DEC team. I am on the road so will be in touch upon returning.

>>> Julia Tighe 11/6/2013 12:47:20 AM >>>

Peter -

looks like we made it! Ny times just flipped from likely approve to approve and board of elections showing as approved 53 to 47!

Congratulations!

Julie

>>> Peter Goodwin <peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com> 10/17/2013 9:26:01 AM >>>

Thanks for the help! Julie

-----Original Message-----

From: Julia Tighe [mailto:jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:34 AM

To: Peter Goodwin

Cc: Kenneth Hamm

Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Its premature for enabling legislation. This proposal is a straightforward land swap.

The enabling legislation will only be a land swap which can't be done until we know the appraised value of Lot 8 with the mineral value taken into consideration. There was no enabling legislation in advance for the Racquette Lake water supply or the the National Grid power line.

Prop 4 is completely different - all prop 4 says is that the situation can be settled by the legislature and the legislation lays out the process. There will still need to be another bill to actually do the land swap. (By the way = that legislation has passed the legislature but hasn't yet been acted on by the Governor.)

>>> Peter Goodwin <peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com> 10/16/2013 9:18 AM >>>

Julle,

Please see the email below. Can you provide an answer for us on this issue?

Regards

Peter

From: Mark Behan [mailto:Mark.Behan@behancom.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:12 AM

To: Steele Rob; Peter Goodwin; Mark Buckley

Cc: John Brodt

Subject: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Hi, Rob, Peter and Mark --

Among his frequent criticisms of Proposition 5 Peter Bauer says there's no enabling legislation -- therefore, no guarantee that the state will get what it says it will get. Conversely, he says, there is enabling legislation for Prop. 4.

Do you know the history here? Why no enabling legislation? We want to be prepared with an answer.

Thanks
Mark

Mark Behan
President

O: (518) 792-3856 ext. 112

Mark.Behan@behancom.com<mailto:XXXXX.XXXXX@behancom.com>
www.bهانcommunications.com<http://behancommunications.com/>

[cid:image001.png@01CECA50.AEA70960]

<http://behancommunications.com/>

The information contained in this message is Privileged and Confidential and intended only for use by the individual named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please delete it and notify me. Thank you.