Exhibit 107



From: Julia Tighe

To: Peter Goodwin; Robert Davies

CC: Marc Gerstman 8/1/2013 1:12 PM

Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Amendment Language

we are doing what we can on this.

>>> Peter Goodwin <<u>peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com</u>> 8/1/2013 11:58 AM >>> Rob, I will call you this PM around 100..We are VERY concerned with this language. See below Regards Peter

From: Mark Behan [mailto:Mark.Behan@behancom.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 6:31 AM

To: Steele Rob; Peter Goodwin; Mark Buckley; Dawn Revette

Cc: John Brodt; Dan Fitzgerald

Subject: NYCO Amendment Language

Dear Colleagues:

We have received word from New York State Board of Elections that the NYCO and land swap amendment will be Proposition Number 5 on the November ballot. In addition, the Board of Election has proposed the following language:

In Relation to a Land Exchange in the State Forest Preserve with NYCO Minerals, Inc.

The proposed amendment to section 1 of article 14 of the Constitution would authorize the Legislature to convey forest preserve land located in the town of Lewis, Essex County, to NYCO Minerals, a private company that plans on mining the land. In exchange, the NYCO Minerals would give the State at least the same amount of land of at least the same value, with a minimum assessed value of \$1 million, to be added to the forest preserve. When NYCO Minerals finishes mining, it would restore the condition of the land and return it to the forest preserve. Shall the proposed amendment be approved?

As you can see, the language is inaccurate, unclear and clumsy.

For example:

NYCO has committed to giving the state at least 1,500 acres of land. It does not make clear that the state's transfer of 200 acres to NYCO is temporary, while additions to the state forest preserve are permanent. While NYCO and mining are mentioned twice, the language never expresses the public benefit of the exchange: More open space for preservation and recreation. (Other proposed amendments do set forth such a benefit, as shown below.)

We are working with our contacts in state government to see if the Board of Elections can be persuaded to make changes in the language and will have more to report on Monday.

The other ballot propositions are:

Proposition 1 Authorizing Casino Gaming

The proposed amendment to section 9 of article 1 of the Constitution would allow the Legislature to authorize up to seven casinos in New York State for the legislated purposes of promoting job growth, increasing aid to schools, and permitting local governments to lower property taxes through revenues generated. Shall the amendment be approved?