## Exhibit 119



From: Julia Tighe
To: Peter Goodwin
CC: Kenneth Hamm
Date: 10/17/2013 9:30 AM

**Subject:** RE: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

any time

>>> Peter Goodwin <<u>peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com</u>> 10/17/2013 9:26 AM >>> Thanks for the help! Julie

----Original Message-----

From: Julia Tighe [mailto:jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:34 AM

To: Peter Goodwin Cc: Kenneth Hamm

Subject: Re: FW: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Its premature for enabling legislation. This proposal is a straightforward land swap.

The enabling legislation will only be a land swap which can't be done until we know the appraised value of Lot 8 with the mineral value taken into consideration. There was no enabling legislation in advance for the Racquette Lake water supply or the the National Grid power line.

Prop 4 is completely different - all prop 4 says is that the situation can be settled by the legislature and the legislation lays out the process. There will still need to be another bill to actually do the land swap. (By the way = that legislation has passed the legislature but hasn't yet been acted on by the Governor.)

>>> Peter Goodwin peter.goodwin@nycominerals.com> 10/16/2013 9:18 AM >>> Julle,

Please see the email below. Can you provide an answer for us on this issue?

Regards Peter

From: Mark Behan [mailto:Mark.Behan@behancom.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 9:12 AM To: Steele Rob; Peter Goodwin; Mark Buckley

Cc: John Brodt

Subject: NYCO Enabling Legislation

Hi, Rob, Peter and Mark --

Among his frequent criticisms of Proposition 5 Peter Bauer says there's no enabling legislation -- therefore, no guarantee that the state will get what it says it will get. Conversely, he says, there is enabling legislation for Prop. 4.

Do you know the history here? Why no enabling legislation? We want to be prepared with an answer.

Thanks Mark

Mark Behan President