## Exhibit 43



From: "David Gibson" <dgibso1@nycap.rr.com>

**To:** msgerstm@gw.dec.state.ny.us; kmmoser@gw.dec.state.ny.us; cwballan@dec.st...

**Date:** 5/15/2013 12:19 PM

Subject: NYCO

Julie and all -

Thank you for our meeting together yesterday and mutual exchange re. NYCO and Township 40. I believe you have our current memos (in opposition for NYCO, and support for Township 40).

Thank you for the factual info about Oak Hill - that NYCO has not yet begun to mine wollastonite there. That was an error on our part, although NYCO did estimate several years back that it would be mining wollastonite at Oak Hill in 2012.

I do hear conflicting accounts of what Peter Goodwin of NYCO states about the quality of the wollastonite at Oak Hill - and the viability of NYCO's business and employment without an Art. 14 amendment.

Mr. Goodwin was very clear on a phone conference in May 17, 2012, in which all Adk environmental groups participated, and I believe Rob Davies of DEC listened in: the wollastonite under Oak Hill is considered every bit as good in quality as on Lot 8, Jay Mtn Wilderness Area, but that the "overburden" over it would be costly to the company to remove. Further, if the amendment failed for whatever reason, the company would remain in Willsboro and shift operations to Oak Hill. He also said that mining at Lot 8 would provide a competitive advantage to NYCO Willsboro, but that is not the same thing as leaving town.

Please advise on these matters. Thanks.

I also ask if NYS could, if it wished, work with NYCO to study and subsidize the cost of removal of overburden at Oak Hill - as an alternative to Lot 8.

Regards,

Dave

David Gibson, Partner

Adirondack Wild: Friends of the Forest Preserve

dgibson@adirondackwild.org

518-469-4081

www.adirondackwild.org