### Exhibit 48





The mission of the ADIRONDACK COUNCIL is to ensure the ecological integrity and wild character of the ADIRONDACK PARK for current and future generations.

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

ANN E. CARMEL Chair

**KEVIN ARQUIT** 

Vice Chair

ROBERT J. KAFIN Vice-Chair

ROBERT GARRETT Treasurer

THOMAS D. THACHER, II Secretary

DAVID F. BRONSTON JOHN P. CAHILL

CHARLES D. CANHAM, PH.D.

LIZA COWAN JEFFREY H. DONAHUE

JOHN L. ERNST SARAH COLLUM HATFIELD

SHEILA M. HUTT

I FE KEET

VIRGINIA M. LAWRENCE LAWRENCE MASTER, PH.D. JAMES B. MCKENNA SARAH J. MEYLAND

SHERRY NEMMERS MEREDITH M. PRIME

RICHARD L REINHOLD

LAUREL SHERWOOD DAVID SKOVRON

JAMES L. SONNEBORN

LYNETTE M. STARK JOEL HLTREISMAN

AARON WOOLF

BRIAN RUDER, Chair Emeritus

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

BRIAN L. HOUSEAL



May 31, 2012

Commissioner Joe Martens

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

625 Broadway

Albany, New York 12233

Re: Opposition to proposed Constitutional Amendment for NYCO mine, Town of Lewis

Dear Commissioner Martens:

The Adirondack Council is opposed to the proposed Constitutional Amendment which would allow NYCO Minerals, Inc. to exchange an amount of land to be determined in order to mine wollastonite ore in the Town of Lewis on Lot 8, currently Forest Preserve lands within the Jay Mountain Wilderness. Our decision is based on the following reasons:

- 1) The proposed action, if approved via a Constitutional Amendment, sets a bad precedent for the future conservation and use of the Forest Preserve by enabling mineral extraction by a private corporation.
- 2) Open pit mining is one of the most environmentally destructive forms of natural resource exploitation, and reclamation would require centuries to restore the ecological integrity of the site.
- 3) The potential economic benefits of expanding this mine would be brief, while environmental damage would be long-lasting. NYCO's October 29, 2008 presentation states, "The estimates of mining the ore in Lot 8 could extend the Lewis mine life by 5-7 years with a potential 1.2M tons of recoverable geological reserves, if mined underground. The life could be extended even longer (3-5) years if mined as it is now, as an open pit."
- There are clear alternatives to expanding this mine site. NYCO owns other economically viable mine sites in Essex County which are not on the Forest Preserve. Oak Hill, which is already permitted, has 16-21 years of estimated life. Fox Hill with 5-7 years of estimated life, is currently in the permitting process. There is no overwhelming reason to exploit Lot 8 at this time when NYCO can move to the other sites without major impacts on local employment.

DEFENDING THE EAST'S GREATEST WILDERNESS

103 Hand Avenue, Suite 3 P.O. Box D-2 Elizabethtown, New York 12932-0640 tel 518.873.2240 fax 518.873.6675 342 Hamilton Street Albany, New York 12210 tel 518.432.1770 fax 518.449.4839 info@adirondackcouncil.org

5) The Adirondack Council's support for recent Constitutional Amendments in 1995, 2007 and 2009 was based on the fact that all of those were needed to ensure public health and safety for the Park's communities. Those amendments were requested because there were no viable alternatives. The amendments were carefully drafted to ensure they set no precedents that would adversely affect other areas of the Forest Preserve. In each case, the amendment resulted in an overwhelming benefit to the Forest Preserve, as well as to the ecological integrity and wild character of the park.

The Adirondack Council understands the importance of private sector employment opportunities in the Park. The employment generated by 5-7 years of open pit mining on Lot 8 does not represent sustainable jobs in the long-term, and should not be used as a justification to exploit the Adirondack Forest Preserve for short-term corporate gain.

We appreciate your consideration of our position on this matter.

Cordially,

Brian L. Houseal

**Executive Director** 

06/13/2012 10:33

518-455-5710

ASSMBLYWOMAN SAYWARI

PAGE 02/05



342 Hamilton Street, Albany, NY 12210 phone (518) 432-1770

www.adirondackcouncil.org

2012 Legislative Session

#### MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION

A. 10641 (Sweeney) / S. 7654 (Little)

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION OF THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY Proposing an amendment to section I of article 14 of the constitution, in relation to a land exchange, in the state forest preserve with NYCO Minerals, Inc.

The Adirondack Council opposes the proposed Constitutional Amendment which would allow NYCO Minerals, Inc. to exchange an amount of land to be determined in order to mine wollastonite ore in the Town of Lewis on Lot 8, currently Forest Preserve lands within the Jay Mountain Wilderness. Our decision is based on the following reasons:

- 1) The proposed action, if approved via a Constitutional Amendment, sets a bad precedent for the future conservation and use of the Forest Preserve by enabling mineral extraction by a private corporation.
- Open pit mining is one of the most environmentally destructive forms of natural resource exploitation, and reclamation would require centuries to restore the ecological integrity of the site.
- 3) The potential economic benefits of expanding this mine would be brief, while environmental damage would be long-lasting. NYCO's October 29, 2008 presentation states, "The estimates of mining the ore in Lot 8 could extend the Lewis mine life by 5-7 years with a potential 1.2M tons of recoverable geological reserves, if mined underground. The life could be extended even longer (3-5) years if mined as it is now, as an open pit."

06/13/2012 10:33 518-455-571

ASSMBLYWOMAN SAYWARD

PAGE 03/05

- 4) There are clear alternatives to expanding this mine site. NYCO owns other economically viable mine sites in Essex County which are not on the Forest Preserve. Oak Hill, which is already permitted, has 16-21 years of estimated life. Fox Hill with 5-7 years of estimated life, is currently in the permitting process. There is no overwhelming reason to exploit Lot 8 at this time when NYCO can move to the other sites without major impacts on local employment.
- 5) The Adirondack Council's support for recent Constitutional Amendments in 1995, 2007 and 2009 was based on the fact that all of those were needed to ensure public health and safety for the Park's communities. Those amendments were requested because there were no viable alternatives. The amendments were carefully drafted to ensure they set no precedents that would adversely affect other areas of the Forest Preserve. In each case, the amendment resulted in an overwhelming benefit to the Forest Preserve, as well as to the ecological integrity and wild character of the park.

The Adirondack Council understands the importance of private sector employment opportunities in the Park. The employment generated by 5-7 years of open pit mining on Lot 8 does not represent sustainable jobs in the long-term, and should not be used as a justification to exploit the Adirondack Forest Preserve for short-term corporate gain.

For the above mentioned reasons, the Adirondack Council opposes this legislation.

The Adirondack Council is a member based not-for-profit organization dedicated to ensuring the ecological integrity and wild character of the Adirondack Park.

For more information contact: Scott M. Lorey or Kevin M. Chlad





# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12233-1010

June 6, 2012

Mr. Brian L. Houseal
Executive Director
Adirondack Council
103 Hand Avenue, Suite 3
P.O. Box D-2
Elizabethtown, NY 12932-0640

RE: Constitutional Amendment for the NYCO Mine, Town of Lewis

Dear Mr. Houseal:

I was surprised and disappointed to receive your May 31, 2012 letter indicating that the Adirondack Council (Council) opposes the proposed constitutional amendment regarding the NYCO mine in the Town of Lewis. However, I appreciate your listing the reasons why the Council opposes the amendment because it gives me with an opportunity to provide the Council with the underlying substantial and compelling public interest which justifies the proposed legislation and constitutional amendment. I urge the Council to reconsider its position and have set forth below information that I believe addresses the Council's expressed concerns.

1. The amendment would set a bad precedent for the future conservation and use of the Forest Preserve by enabling mineral extraction by a private corporation.

Each constitutional amendment stands or falls on its own merit. Adoption of an amendment regarding a particular type of issue does not mean that subsequent amendments of the same type will be adopted. For instance, although an amendment was adopted in 1946 which authorized the construction of ski trails on Belleayre and Gore Mountains, a subsequent 1966 amendment to allow the construction of ski trails on the slopes of Hoffman, Blue Ridge and Peaked Hill Mountains in Essex County was resoundingly defeated by the voters by a nearly 3 to 1 margin.

Moreover, the NYCO amendment will not set precedent for future mineral extraction amendments because there are a number of facts that are unique to NYCO, including:

- The Lewis mine is an operating mine immediately abutting Forest Preserve land;
- The heart of the wollastonite vein extends onto Forest Preserve land;

- The vein extending onto the Forest Preserve appears to have little overburden or interburden, making it economical to mine and process;
- The adjacent Forest Preserve land does not have any particularly attractive recreational or natural resource values and has poor public access; and
- Because of the value of wollastonite, the appraisal of Lot 8 will be considerably higher than a comparable parcel without such minerals. As a result, the State would receive considerably more acreage in the land exchange than would normally occur. The proposed constitutional amendment provides assurance that the state receives greater consideration by including a minimum value of \$1 million for the replacement land. Moreover, the land to be acquired will undoubtedly have far superior recreational, natural resource and public access values than Lot 8.

Given these unique facts, and the fact that each constitutional amendment stands or falls on its own merit, passage of this amendment would not set precedent for other amendments allowing the extraction of minerals from the Forest Preserve. Adoption of the NYCO amendment would not bind, in any way, the legislature, public at large, or environmental groups, such as the Council, to support any subsequent amendment.

 Open pit mining is one of the most environmentally destructive forms of natural resource exploitation, and reclamation would require centuries to restore the ecological integrity of the site.

The proposed amendment would not allow the development of a new mine at a location where none now exists. Rather, NYCO currently mines wollastonite at the Lewis mine on a 260 acre tract of land that is situated immediately adjacent to the Forest Preserve land in question. The proposed constitutional amendment should be viewed in the context of an expansion of the existing mine, not the creation of a new mine. Although the land exchange would include the entire 200 acre lot 8 parcel, NYCO expects to mine less than half of the property. Thus, less than 100 acres of the parcel would be disturbed and require reclamation.

NYCO estimates that mining activity would cease at the site within thirteen to fifteen years. At the end of the mine's life, whether or not it is expanded onto Lot 8, NYCO is required to reclaim the mine, pursuant to and consistent with the New York State Mined Reclamation Law (ECL Article 23, Title 27) and implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Parts 420-425). DEC's Mined Land Reclamation permits, oversight of mining operations, and closure and reclamation requirements minimize impacts of mining on land. DEC's program prevents improper disposal of mining wastes, requires erosion and sediment control, and ensures that land impacted by mining is properly reclaimed and returned to productive use upon closure in accordance with an approved plan. Since NYCO would be required to convey Lot 8 back to the State for inclusion in the Forest Preserve after successful reclamation of the site, any proposed reclamation plan for the site would be geared towards reestablishing forest cover compatible with the surrounding forest and providing attractive public access where none currently exists.

Lot 8 is located in an area that is classified as Wilderness by the Adirondack Park State Land Master Plan and, of course, all wooded properties have ecological value. However, DEC has not identified any critical ecological values on the property, nor does the property experience much, if any, recreational use. Lot 8 does not have easy public access and does not even contain a foot trail. While every parcel of Forest Preserve must be afforded the full protection conferred by the constitution, this land was not acquired by the State pursuant to the Open Space Plan, or through the use of funds from any of the several Bond Acts or the Environmental Protection Fund. Instead, the State acquired the property as a result of an 1885 tax sale, with Lot 8 being acquired from the Comptroller on June 14, 1890. In essence, Lot 8 was acquired by the State because the prior owner defaulted on its taxes and no one else wanted to acquire the property; it was not acquired because it had significant ecological or recreational values. Having been acquired at a tax sale in the late 1800's, it is highly probable that the land was also heavily lumbered prior to State acquisition; thus, it likely does not contain any old growth forests.

In contrast, the public would have a strong interest in securing the potential exchange parcels being considered which have considerable recreational and natural resource values and would enhance public access to underutilized areas of the Jay Mountain Wilderness. As indicated above, the proposal provides that any property exchanged for Lot 8 would be required to have values of at least \$1 million and the land swap would require subsequent legislative approval before it could take place. NYCO has already indicated to us that they would be interested in conveying to the State for inclusion in the Forest Preserve a parcel which NYCO owns to the south and west of Lot 8. Spruce Mill Brook runs through the parcel, and this land could provide hiker parking and access for a trail up Slip Mountain from the south—access that is sorely needed. We are also looking at having NYCO acquire, as additional substitute parcels, two parcels adjoining the Jay Wilderness on the north side. These parcels would provide needed access to the Jay Range from the north. Another parcel being looked at is 8,000 acres in size and would extend public ownership of the Jay Range and several smaller peaks that have great views. The acquisition of any of these parcels or portions thereof would enhance public recreation in an area of the Forest Preserve that is currently underutilized. The State and public's interest would be served by securing any combination of these parcels which would bring far greater recreational opportunities and natural resource values into the Forest Preserve than are currently provided by Lot 8.

### 3. The potential economic benefits of expanding this mine would be brief while environmental damage would be long-lasting.

This concern greatly understates the economic benefits of expanding the mine. NYCO's current estimate is that the land exchange would extend the life of the Lewis mine between 13 and 15 years. NYCO's mining operations would continue to provide an economically depressed area of the State with nearly 100 full time jobs and 50 part time jobs, with an annual payroll in excess of \$4.5 million. In addition, NYCO has 63 vendors within a 100 mile radius and spends \$2.3 million locally per year. It also pays \$260,000 annually in local taxes. Expenditures by NYCO's employees and the employees of NYCO's vendors support local businesses, such as restaurants, stores, and gas stations, and thus are an important economic engine to the area. These expenditures can determine whether these local businesses are able to stay in business.

4. There are clear alternatives to expanding the mine site; NYCO owns other properties which it can mine.

Although NYCO does own other properties, mining them would be significantly more costly than mining the wollastonite on Lot 8 and could result in a shutdown of NYCO's operations. Expenses related to relocating mining operations to a new site alone would cost NYCO approximately \$4 million. Furthermore, wollastonite deposits on NYCO's other properties are deeper in the ground and contain more overburden and interburden as compared to the vein extending under the Forest Preserve on Lot 8. The more overburden and interburden requiring removal, the more the environmental damage, and the more expensive and less competitive the mining operation will be. This is evidenced by the fact that NYCO's Lewiston mine expenses during the last several years have tripled because, as NYCO has followed the wollastonite lens to the south on its property, it encountered increasing amounts of overburden and interburden.

NYCO's main competition comes from China, India, Finland, and elsewhere in the United States. Because labor costs are considerably cheaper elsewhere, particularly in China and India, NYCO will remain competitive only if its mining operations are more efficient than the operations in those countries. Consequently, increased extraction and mine relocation costs would reduce NYCO's competiveness in the global market and could cause NYCO to either reduce the scale of its Essex County operations or shut down operations entirely.

5. The Adirondack Council's support of recent amendments regarding the Keene Cemetery, Raquette Lake Water Supply, and Route 56 power line were based on the fact that the amendments were needed to ensure public health and safety for the Park's communities.

I know that the Council would agree that the economic health of Adirondack communities is essential if the Park itself is to succeed in the long run. The loss or reduction in 150 jobs may not be significant to large metropolitan areas, but could be devastating to a small rural community with few alternative employment opportunities. Willsboro, the Town in which NYCO's processing plant is located, had a population of only 2,025 people in the 2010 census. The Town of Lewis, in which the Lewis mine is located, had a population of only 1,382 in the 2010 census. According to the census, there were only 1,278 households located in these towns in 2010. The loss of 150 full and part-time jobs to these small communities could impact one out of every 9 or 10 households in these towns and could devastate the local economy. The loss of so many jobs that are directly and indirectly tied to the mining operation as well as the substantial loss in tax revenue to such small communities could have a considerable adverse impact on the public health and safety in these communities.

As you know, a concurrent resolution authorizing a vote on a constitutional amendment must be passed by two separate legislatures before the amendment can be placed on the ballot at a general election. Since the legislature is currently in the second year of a two year cycle, failure by the legislature to approve the resolution in 2012 would mean that first passage would have to wait until the 2013-2014 session, with second passage waiting until the 2015-2016 session. As NYCO is so close to the end of the Lewis mine's

pit life, a delay in first passage until the 2013-2014 session would likely kill the proposal and NYCO would be forced to either move its mine to its other property, at greater cost both to their operations and the environment, or close its Lewis/Willsboro operation. Precedent shows that first passage of an amendment does not ensure second passage. (For instance, a concurrent resolution to authorize the lease of a part of Hunter Mountain to a private corporation for construction of ski trails passed both the Senate and Assembly in 1960, but failed to get second passage.) In fact, the purpose of requiring second passage is to ensure that a newly seated legislature looks at a proposed amendment anew and ensures that any outstanding issues or concerns with a proposal at first passage have been adequately addressed.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the Adirondack Council reconsider and withdraw its opposition to first passage. We are available to discuss these issues with you and your board.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions or if I can provide you with any additional information regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Joseph J. Martens



JOE MARTENS COMMISSIONER

## STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION ALBANY, NEW YORK 12233-1010

JUN 1 5 2012

Mr. Brian L. Houseal
Executive Director
Adirondack Council
103 Hand Avenue, Suite 3
P.O. Box D-2
Elizabethtown, New York 12932-0640

Dear Mr. Houseal: Prim

On June 6, 2012 I sent you a letter discussing some of the benefits which would accrue to the Forest Preserve in the event of adoption of a proposed constitutional amendment regarding NYCO Minerals, Inc. (NYCO). As you know, the proposed amendment would result in a land exchange with NYCO, whereby NYCO would be allowed to expand its Lewis wollastonite mine onto adjacent Forest Preserve land. My letter noted the State would receive far more acreage from NYCO than the 200 acres contained in Lot 8, and I discussed the recreational benefits that would accrue to the public from having the replacement acreage become part of the Forest Preserve.

However, I would be remiss if I did not emphasize that the Department's paramount consideration in identifying replacement parcels for NYCO to acquire will be the protection and preservation of natural resources. As we evaluate potential replacement lands having an ecological and geographical nexus to the Jay Wilderness Area, the Department will strive to protect as many natural resource values as possible, including biological diversity, critical wildlife habitat, native forest character and sensitive ecological systems.

In identifying replacement parcels, the Department would also be looking for guidance from the 2009 Open Space Plan, including the Plan's list of regional priority projects. Moreover, consistent with Adirondack Park State Land Master Plan concepts, special consideration would be given to:

- Key parcels of private land, the use or development of which could adversely affect the
  integrity of vital tracts of state land, particularly wilderness, primitive and canoe areas
  and key parcels which would permit the upgrading of primitive areas to wilderness areas;
- Acquisitions which permit the consolidation of scattered tracts of state land;
- The protection of major scenic resources; and
- Lands that prevent access to important blocks of state land.

As set forth in my June 6, 2012 letter, first passage of a concurrent resolution authorizing a land exchange with NYCO is essential this session. For these reasons, I again respectfully request that the Adirondack Council reconsider and reverse its opposition to first passage. As always, we are available to discuss these issues with you and your board.

Sincerely,

oseph J. Martens

be: Commissioner Martens

M. Gerstman

S. Russo

E. McTiernan

T. Berkman

K. Hamm

R. Davies

EDMS #439562



The mission of the ADIRONDACK COUNCIL is to ensure the ecological integrity and wild character of the ADIRONDACK PARK for current and future generations.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ANN E. CARMEL

June 18, 2012

KEVIN ARQUIT

ROBERT J. KAFIN Vice-Chall

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway

ROBERT GARRETT

Albany, New York 12233

Commissioner Joe Martens

THOMAS D. THACHER, II

Secretary

Re: Proposed Constitutional Amendment for NYCO mine, Town of Lewis

DAVID E BRONSTON JOHN P. CAHILL

CHARLES D. CANHAM, PH.D.

LIZA COWAN

JEFFREY H DONAHUE JOHN L ERNST SARAH COLLUM HATFIELD

SHEILA M. HUTT

LEE KEET

VIRGINIA M. LAWRENCE LAWRENCE MASTER, PH.D.

JAMES B. MCKENNA SARAH J. MEYLAND

SHERRY NEMMERS MEREDITH M. PRIME

RICHARD L. REINHOLD

LAUREL SHERWOOD DAVID SKOVRON

JAMES L SONNEBORN LYNETTE M. STARK

JOEL H. TREISMAN AARON WOOLF

BRIAN RUDER, Chair Emeritus

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

BRIAN L. HOUSEAL

Dear Commissioner Martens,

Thank you for your correspondence of June 6 and 15, 2012 regarding the proposed Constitutional Amendment for NYCO Mines, Inc. Based on this new information, this letter supersedes our previous communications on this issue.

As you are aware, the Board of the Adirondack Council had a conference call on June 15 to discuss the additional details which you and the administration had provided to us regarding the proposed NYCO constitutional amendment. In light of this new information, the Board has agreed to reconsider its position on the passage of the proposed amendment and the implementing legislation.

We look forward to additional information as the process evolves. In the meantime, we want to express our commitment to you as the DEC Commissioner and the efforts you have put forth to protect the Adirondack Park.

Sincerely,

Brian Houseal

Cc: Robert Hallman, Deputy Secretary for the Environment



DEFENDING THE EAST'S GREATEST WILDERNESS



From: Mark Behan <mark.behan@behancom.com>

**To:** jwtighe@gw.dec.state.ny.us

cc: rkdavies@gw.dec.state.ny.us; krhamm@gw.dec.state.ny.us; msgerstm@gw.dec....

**Date:** 6/10/2013 2:18 PM

**Subject:** Re: AFL-CIO Backs NYCO Land Exchange

Hi Rob, Marc, Julie, Chris and Ken - You may already be aware ... I just received a phone message that the Adirondack Council has voted to support the NYCO land exchange amendment.

Thanks for all of your help. This is a huge plus.

Mark

>

Mark Behan President

O: (518) 792-3856 ext. 112

Mark.Behan@behancom.com www.behancommunications.com

The information contained in this message is Privileged and Confidential and intended only for use by the individual named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please delete it and notify me. Thank you.

On Jun 10, 2013, at 9:58 AM, Julia Tighe wrote:

```
> Its 625 of the LOB at 930.
> I'll be sending directions to buckley when I'm back at my desk.
>
> >>> Mark Behan <mark.behan@behancom.com> 6/10/2013 10:56:27 AM >>>
> Thanks Julie. Eager to hear.
>
> Do you have the time and location of the meeting tomorrow with Assembly Member Sweeney?
> Thanks
> Mark
> Mark
> Mark Behan
> President
> O: (518) 792-3856 ext. 112
> Mark.Behan@behancom.com
> www.behancommunications.com
```



The mission of the ADIRONDACK COUNCIL is to ensure the ecological integrity and wild character of the ADIRONDACK PARK.

June 11, 2013 **BOARD OF DIRECTORS** 

ANN E. CARMEL

Honorable Andrew M. Cuomo

KEVIN ARQUIT

Governor

ROBERT J. KAFIN

Executive Chamber, NYS Capitol

Albany, NY 12224

Albany, NY 12247

ROBERT GARRETT

Honorable Dean Skelos

THOMAS D. THACHER II

NYS Senate Republican Conference Leader

Room 330, NYS Capitol

MICHAEL A. BETTMANN, M.D.

DAVID E. BRONSTON

CHARLES D. CANHAM, PH.D.

Honorable Jeffrey Klein

JOHN L. ERNST

NYS Senate Independent Democratic Conference Leader

ETHAN FRIEDMAN

Room 913 Legislative Office Building

SARAH COLLUM HATFIELD

Albany, NY 12247

SHEILA M. HUTT LEE KEET

Honorable Sheldon Silver VIRGINIA M. LAWRENCE Speaker, NYS Assembly

LAWRENCE MASTER, PH.D.

Room 932 Legislative Office Building

JAMES B. McKENNA SARAH J. MEYLAND

Albany, NY 12248

SHERRY NEMMERS

MEREDITH M. PRIME

RE: Constitutional Amendment for NYCO Minerals

RICHARD L. REINHOLD LAUREL SHERWOOD

DAVID SKOVRON

JAMES L. SONNEBORN

JASON STOLTZ

JOEL H. TREISMAN

AARON WOOLF

Dear Governor Cuomo, Conference Leader Skelos, Conference Leader Klein, and Speaker Silver:

I am writing regarding the Governor's proposed Constitutional amendment which would allow for a land exchange between the State of New York and NYCO

Minerals in the Adirondack Park, if second passage is completed and the State's

voters approve this November.

ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DIANE W. FISH

Early this year the Adirondack Council presented to the state the attached list of six criteria that our Board of Directors approved as considerations for assessing

land swap proposals in the Park. According to the criteria, without details on the parcels proposed to be swapped from and into the Forest Preserve the Adirondack

342 Hamilton Street Albany, New York 12210 tel 518.432.1770 fax 518.449.4839 info@adirondackcouncil.org 103 Hand Avenue, Suite 3 P.O. Box D-2 Elizabethtown, New York 12932-0640 tel 518.873.2240 fax 518.873.6675



Council would not support the land swap, and by extension, would not support the constitutional amendment.

The State has now embraced the Adirondack Council principles and considerations, and applied and followed them. They have modified, expanded and detailed the proposed exchange as was not previously done.

The Adirondack Council, having reviewed the detailed information received on six parcels of land and 1,507 acres proposed as replacement for 200 acres to be transferred to NYCO, finds that our six criteria are satisfied. The proposal provides benefits to the local community and the Forest Preserve. With commitments that these lands are the minimum that will be protected if voters approve NYCO and the transfer proceeds, the Council supports second passage. We will work aggressively, if necessary, to ensure that enabling legislation guarantees protection of these 1,507 acres, including the parcels identified.

Thank you for this opportunity to update you on our position. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you should have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Soft M. Loren Scott M. Loren

Legislative Director