SUPREME COURT	COUNTY OF ALBANY
In the Matter of the Applic PROTECT THE ADIRONDACKS!,	
	Plaintiff-Petitioner,
-against-	Index No. 2137-13
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT (CONSERVATION and ADIRONDAC	
	Defendants-Respondents.
Volume IV	
- N O N - J	URY TRIAL -
DEEODE: HOM CEDAID M CO	NNOLT V
BEFORE: HON. GERALD W. CONNOLLY Acting Justice of the Supre	
Transcript of the	e Proceedings held on the record
on March 16, 2017, at the 2	Albany County Courthouse, Albany
New York.	
APPEARANCES:	
For the Plaintiff:	
JOHN W. CAFFRY, ESQUIRE CLAUDIA K. BRAYMER, ESQUIRI	
WILLIAM F. DEMAREST, III, I	
For the Defendants:	
LORETTA SIMON, ESQUIRE	
MEREDITH G. LEE-CLARK, ESQ	UIRE 1

	Protect the Adirondacks! v. NYS DEC & APA
1	THE COURT: This is the continuation of the
2	non-jury trial in the matter of Protect the Adirondacks!
3	against the New York State DEC and Adirondack Park
4	Agency.
5	Counsel, all set to proceed?
6	MR. CAFFRY: Yes, your Honor.
7	MS. SIMON: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	All set, Mr. Caffry?
10	MR. CAFFRY: Yes. We would like to recall Dr.
11	Ronald Sutherland.
12	THE COURT: Dr. Sutherland, you can come right up
13	here.
14	You are still under oath, sir.
15	MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, before I start questioning
16	the witness, can we just confirm the status of Exhibit
17	104, which I think was admitted yesterday? I just want
18	to confirm that that is correct.
19	THE COURT: That has been admitted.
20	MR. CAFFRY: Thank you.
21	THEREUPON,
22	RONALD W. SUTHERLAND,
23	called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, was examined
24	and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION Continued

2 BY MR. CAFFRY:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

Q Dr. Sutherland, as you may recall yesterday, I had begun asking you questions about Exhibit 102 and you were attempting to refresh your recollection from your file and at that point the judge adjourned court for the day. So I'm going to go back now to Exhibit 102 and I think I will start over for clarity of the record.

Can you identify Exhibit 102?

- A Yes. It's a photo I took on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- 12 Q Do you know where on the Seventh Lake Mountain
 13 Trail you took that photo?
 - A I do not recall, but could refresh my recollection.
- 15 Q Do you have something with you that would allow you to refresh your recollection?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Would you like to consult that now, please?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And briefly, tell the Court what it is you are looking at when you do so.
- A So I'm looking at basically a contact sheet showing thumbnails of the photos, along with miscellaneous
- 24 | information for each photo that I have written down myself.

Okay. So, yes, Exhibit 102 was taken between 1 2 bridges eight and ten on Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. 3 0 And when was that taken? On August 18th. 5 And does it fairly and accurately represent the 6 scene depicted at the time that you took it? 7 Α It does. 8 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit 9 102 be admitted into evidence. 10 MS. SIMON: No objection. THE COURT: 102 is received into evidence. 11 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 102 received in 13 evidence.) 14 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, there is also copies 15 available for you of 102 and 104, which I'm about to ask 16 the witness about. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 BY MR. CAFFRY: 19 Dr. Sutherland, could you look at Exhibits 102 and 20 104 and explain to the Court what they depict? 21 Sure. Just to clarify, am I also clarifying what 22 they are and when I took the photos? And things are varied 23 in these photos. I'm sorry? 24 Q

- A Do I need to say that I took these photos and that kind of thing?
- Q No. You have already been through that and they have been admitted into evidence.
- 5 A Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q What do they actually show?
- A What do they actually show. Got you.
 - So, photos 102 and 104 show an area of sky surrounded by trees in both photos. The sky area and what that represents is an area of open canopy that was directly over the respective trails in each case. Exhibit 104 being on the Newcomb to Minerva Trail and 102 being on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- 14 Q Is that the type of open canopy situation that you 15 have previously described in your testimony?
- 16 A It is.
- 17 Q And could you look at Exhibit 103, which has been 18 marked for identification?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Can you identify that document?
- 21 A Yes. This is a photo that I took on the Seventh 22 Lake Mountain Trail.
- Q Do you know where you took it on the trail?
- A Not exactly.

	(Hohala Gathenaha Bheet by Wil. Gamy)
1	Q Do you have something available that would allow
2	you to refresh your recollection?
3	A I do.
4	Q Would you like to consult that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Please do. And tell us what it is you are looking
7	at.
8	A Sure. Okay. I'm looking again at the same contact
9	sheet with the photos.
10	Okay. So this photo was taken essentially
11	eight minutes of hiking from the start of the first section
12	of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail from the Moose River Road.
13	Q When did you take that photo?
14	A August 18 th .
15	Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
16	depicted at the time that you took it?
17	A It does.
18	MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
19	103 be admitted into evidence.
20	MS. SIMON: No objection.
21	THE COURT: 103 is received into evidence.
22	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 103 received in
23	evidence.)
24	

Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

1 BY MR. CAFFRY:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q Dr. Sutherland, can you tell the Court what Exhibit 3 103 shows?
 - A Exhibit 103 shows a dead tree on the side of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. And as you can tell in the photo, the area that was formerly occupied by the leaves and branches of the tree is now quite permeable to sunlight. So it allows sunlight to come down through there providing an opening in the canopy. It'll, you know, become more like the

other two photos when that tree eventually falls down.

- Q Although the tree appears to be dead, you testified it's dead, it still appears to have a trunk and branches.

 Won't that help block the sunlight and contribute to the closing of the canopy?
- MS. SIMON: Objection. Counsel is testifying.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- You may answer.
 - A Not to a significant degree. As you can tell in the photo, there is abundant opportunities for sunlight to pass between the bare branches of that tree.
 - Q And if you were to look at an aerial photograph of that trail that included the area where that tree is, would you be able to tell that there is an opening in the canopy there?

- 1 MS. SIMON: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 BY MR. CAFFRY:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Are the canopy openings that you have just described that are depicted in Exhibits 102, 103 and 104, large enough to adversely affect the ecology of the forest floor, the wildlife, and the understory vegetation in the ways that you have previously testified about?
 - A Yes. They are sufficient to cause the edge effects and the associated ecological impacts that I talked about yesterday.
 - Q And do Exhibits 102, 103 and 104 typify the type of canopy openings that you observed on the two Class II community connector trails that you inspected?
 - A They do.
 - Q Do those photos necessarily capture the entire opening in the area where you took it?
 - A No, they do not. It was difficult to take a picture of a hundred foot long canopy opening for example.
 - Q Dr. Sutherland, I have handed you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 105 to 107 for identification. Can you please look at number 105 and tell us if you can identify that?
- A Yes. This is a photo that I took on August 18th

- 1 of Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- Q Can you tell us approximately where in the trail you took it?
- 4 A I would need to refresh my recollection.
- 5 Q Please do. And are you going to look at the same document you previously looked at to refresh?
- 7 A Yes.

9

- 8 Q Please go ahead.
 - A This photo was taken roughly a quarter of a mile before the first bridge on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- 11 Q When you say first bridge, coming from where?
- 12 A Coming from the Moose River Road and also I believe 13 those bridges are numbered sequentially in that direction.
- Q And does the photograph fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?
- 16 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 18 105 be admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: 105 is received in evidence.
- 21 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q And would you please look at Exhibit 106 for identification. Tell us if you can identify that.
- 24 A Yes. This is a photo I took on the Seventh Lake

- 1 | Mountain Trail on August 18th of 2016.
- 2 Q Can you tell us where on the trail you took it?
- 3 A I would have to refresh my recollection.
- 4 Q Please do. Have you refreshed your recollection
- 5 using that same document?
- 6 A Yes.

- Q Where on the trail did you take the photo?
- 8 A This photo was taken roughly a quarter of a mile 9 before the second bridge on the first section of the Seventh
- 10 Lake Mountain Trail.
- 11 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene 12 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 13 A It does.
- 14 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 15 106 be admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: 106 is received into evidence.
- 18 Go ahead. If you are going to do 107 now, go ahead
- 19 and do that, and then we will mark all three.
- MR. CAFFRY: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q Would you please look at Exhibit 107 for
- 23 identification?
- 24 A Yes. This is a photo I took of the Seventh Lake

- 1 | Mountain Trail on August 18th.
- 2 Q Of 2016?
- 3 A 2016.
- 4 Q Where on the trail did you take it?
- 5 A I would have to refresh my recollection.
- 6 Q Please do so. I assume using the same document?
- 7 A Yes, the same document.
- 8 Q Have you been able to refresh your recollection?
- 9 A I have.
- 10 Q Where on the trail did you take that picture?
- A It was approximately a tenth of a mile past bridge
- 12 | seven, Seventh Lake Mountain Trail, the first section.
- Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?
- 15 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 17 107 be received into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: 107 is received into evidence.
- You can mark all three, please.
- 21 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 105, 106 and 107
- received in evidence.)
- 23 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q Dr. Sutherland, could you tell the Court, starting

with number 105, what each one of these exhibits shows about the vegetation on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?

A Yes, I can. So, Exhibit 105 shows the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail road running up a hill. There is trees on both sides on the upper part of the photo. And as you can see, the surface of the trail is covered in primarily thick grass and ferns, a little bit of leaf cover, and some other types of plants mixed in, but primarily grass and ferns. And this is indicative of an open canopy, high sunlight environment.

Q And could you now look at Exhibit 106 and tell us what it depicts about the vegetation conditions on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?

A Yes. Exhibit 106 essentially shows the same features. Again, you have the surface of the trail or road covered in more ferns in this picture, but still some grass in the foreground there. And, again, that's indicative in this case of high sunlight conditions.

Q And finally looking at 107, what does that show about the vegetation on the trail?

A So, photo 107 on the left side of the photo you can see an area where the surface of the trail or road is covered in grass with a few ferns sticking out in the upper left corner. And then in the foreground there is a large tree

- 1 | whose roots have been severed in many locations.
- 2 Q And now with regard to all three photographs, 105
- 3 | to 107, are the conditions shown in those photographs
- 4 | typically found on the forest floor in an undisturbed forest
- 5 of the type of which the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail is
- 6 located?
- 7 A No, they are not.
- 8 Q And in your professional opinion, is that condition
- 9 of that, of the grass and ferns on the trail there, related
- 10 to the existence of the openings in the forest canopy that
- 11 | were created by the construction of the trail?
- 12 A Yes, sir. I think these conditions are caused by
- 13 | the open canopy.
- 14 Q And can the presence of grass and ferns to this
- 15 extent also be an indicator of the existence of open canopy
- 16 | conditions?
- 17 A Yes, it can.
- 18 Q Based upon your observations and your professional
- 19 experience, did you develop an opinion as to the causes of
- 20 | the open canopy conditions that you observed on both trails?
- 21 | In other words, what about construction of the trail causes
- 22 this to occur?
- 23 A I think it's fairly obvious that the open canopy
- 24 | conditions are caused by the combination of trees being cut

us for creation of the trail and trees dying on the side of the trail as we saw in previous exhibits, and then possibly even later falling down, since this particular trail is four years old.

Q When you say referring to a previous exhibit, can you tell us which number or at least which one, what's depicted in that exhibit you were referring to?

A May I look at? I believe Exhibit 103 shows a dead tree on the side of the trail.

Q How, in your experience, in your professional opinion, how might trees that are along newly built forest roads or a Class II community connector trail, die post construction? What's the factors that would cause that to happen?

A Several things, really, could cause trees to die in that situation. The first, as I think is exemplified in Exhibit 107, is that the tree's roots could be cut to a substantial degree. And trees turn out to need their roots.

And so that can often lead to tree death.

The second thing is that if trees are substantially run into with any kind of machinery or equipment and the bark is substantially damaged, that can also lead to trees getting fungal infections and dying subsequently.

And then the third reason is if the canopy is otherwise opened up because of other trees being cut down, then that leads to greater wind penetration into open canopy situations and that can lead to trees falling over directly or being tipped up and then falling over.

And then the fourth thing is alterations in the microclimate around the tree. You know, as we talked about yesterday, the increased amount of sunshine can lead to lower water availability and that could lead to stressing a tree enough to cause it to die.

- Q And would these conditions that could cause the tree, the trail side trees to die post construction, cause that to happen immediately, or could that continue for a number of years?
- A I think it could keep happening for a number of years, yes.
- Q Dr. Sutherland, I have handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 108 for identification. Can you identify that?
- A Yes. This is a photo I took on the Seventh Lake
 Mountain Trail on August 18th, 2016.
 - Q Can you tell us where on the trail it was taken?
- A I would need to refresh my recollection.
- Q Using the same document as before?

1 A Yes.

5

- 2 Q Please do.
- A This photo was taken just past bridge 15 on the second section of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
 - Q And does it fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?
- 7 A Yes, it does.
- 8 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit 9 108 be admitted.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: 108 is received into evidence.
- 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 108 received in
- 13 evidence.)
- 14 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 15 Q Can you tell the Court what that picture shows?
- 16 A Yes. This picture shows the base of the large
- 17 | tree. I believe it's a sugar maple and it's on the edge,
- 18 directly on the edge of the Seventh Lake Mountain community
- 19 | connector trail, and it's roots on the right side, lower
- 20 | right side of the photo, appear to have been severed to a
- 21 significant degree.
- 22 Q Is there a feature in the terrain immediately to
- 23 | the right of those roots?
- 24 A Yes, there is. You can tell in the photo there is

a small bench cut approximately about, I would say 12 inches in height from the surface of the snowmobile trail road. And so that's a perpendicular cut into what was formerly a slight slope. And there is soil erosion from the edge of that cut.

Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the damage to the roots of the trees related to the creation of that bench cut?

MS. SIMON: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. CAFFRY: Can I ask the reason for it being sustained, your Honor?

THE COURT: You are asking for a conclusion, which is not based upon anything other than speculation.

The photo does speak for itself. And the existence of the bench cut and the cut roots do speak, in what appear to be a bench cut, do speak for themselves. But it calls for speculative evidence, which is, again, speculative and also outside the field of expertise as stated.

If you would like to set some further foundation to ask the question, you may attempt to do so.

MR. CAFFRY: We will let the photo speak for itself.

Senior Court Reporter

THE COURT: Okay.

1	BY MR. CAFFRY:
2	Q Are trailside or roadside trees with the type of
3	damage that you have described with regard to the trees in
4	Exhibits 107 and 108, are they susceptible to dying an
5	untimely death in your professional opinion?
6	A Yes. There is higher likelihood that a tree in
7	this situation would die as a result of the injuries to its
8	roots.
9	Q If that were to occur, would that affect the forest
10	canopy?
11	A Yes, it would. A large, mature hardwood tree like
12	the one shown in the photo would occupy a large amount of the
13	forest canopy. If it dies, that's going to be a rather large
14	opening.
15	Q In your professional opinion, could the linear
16	canopy openings that you have testified about having observed
17	on the Class II community connector trails, have been caused
18	by natural events?
19	MS. SIMON: Objection.
20	THE COURT: What's the objection?
21	MS. SIMON: He's asking him let's ask for it to
22	be read back.
23	THE COURT: That's fine.

Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

(Whereupon the Reporter read back the

THE COURT: That's fine.

1 question.)

MS. SIMON: I withdraw my objection.

A No, not per se, because in the sense that the linear openings on the road or trail canopies follow the road surface and curved as roads and trails do, the natural occurrences that could lead to linear openings in the canopy would, to my knowledge, include only tornadoes and small gusts, you know, targeted gusts of wind that would knock down several trees in a row. And those are similar, but though slightly different conditions.

Q Would a tornado or a strong wind gust follow the path of the trail or road?

A No.

Q Dr. Sutherland, in comparing the areas where you observed open canopy conditions on the Class II trails and the areas where you had observed that the canopy had remained closed, was there a difference in the vegetation on the ground?

A Can you repeat that question one more time? I'm sorry.

Q In comparing the areas where you observed open canopy conditions on the Class II trails and the areas where you had observed that the canopy had remain closed, was there a difference in the types of vegetation on the ground along

1	the trail?
2	A Yes. There was a fairly obvious difference on the
3	areas. Where the community connector trails or roads
4	retained closed canopy there was not very much vegetation at
5	all on the surface of the trail or road.
6	Q Now, I have handed you what's been marked as
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 109 for identification. Can you identify
8	that?
9	A I can. This is a photo I took and I believe it was
10	on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail on August 18 th , but I
11	would probably want to refresh my recollection.
12	Q Could you please do that? And again, I assume you
13	would use the same document to do so?
14	A Um-hum. Yes. I'm looking at the same document.
15	So, indeed this is a photo I took about a
16	tenth of a mile before bridge eight of the first section on
17	the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
18	Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
19	depicted at the time that you took it?
20	A It does.
21	MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
22	109 be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Yes.

23

24

MS. SIMON: Can I voir dire?

- 1 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MS. SIMON:
- 3 Q Is this picture depicting the trail or the forest 4 alongside the trail?
- 5 A It's taken from the trail. It depicts the forest 6 immediately adjacent to the trail.
- 7 MS. SIMON: Thank you. No objections.
- 8 THE COURT: 109 is received into evidence.
- 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 109 received in
- 10 evidence.)
- 11 BY MR. CAFFRY:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Dr. Sutherland, in response to defense counsel's question, you already said what view it depicted, but what can you tell us about the vegetation in that picture?
 - A What the picture shows is a dense accumulation of shade tolerant plants, which is dog hobble, and other similar understory vegetation, various species of wildflowers were in the same community type, and then the surrounding trees in the forest.
 - And, yeah, this photo was typical of vegetation that I observed while hiking on the community connector trails that was off the trails, roads.
- Q Now, Dr. Sutherland, I have just handed you what has been previously admitted as Exhibit 94, which I believe

- 1 you testified you took on the Rock Lake Trail; is that
 2 correct?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4 Q Does that also show typical trailside vegetation?
- 5 A It does.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q And on the hiking trail, is that vegetation present in the trail corridor itself, as well as in the woods?
- A Not directly on the, you know, roughly two-foot wide footpath or the footbed of the trail where people walk there is mostly exposed soil, but there were some examples of similar plants trying to grow up in places where they were protected from being trampled, like between rocks and that sort of thing.
- Q What about within, say, approximately three feet on either side of the foot trail?
 - A Yes. Yeah. You can tell in the photo that the natural vegetation runs right up to the edge of the narrow footpath.
 - Q And is the vegetation on the outside of the foot tread similar in that exhibit to the vegetation shown on Exhibit 109 on the trailside of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
- A It's substantially similar. It's not as dominated by the dog hobble as the other photo 109 is, but yes, it's

- the same sort of understory vegetation that you would expect in a forest interior environment.
 - Q Is that type of vegetation present within the width of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail itself?
 - A Only in an isolated sense. There were the occasional pockets, particularly in places that looked like they had not been graded as substantially as other places by any kind of machinery. There were some isolated pockets of native understory plants here and there, but to a large extent the understory vegetation was not present on the surface of those trails.
 - Q In your opinion, are those differences in vegetation the result of the construction of the community connector trail?
 - A Yes.
- MS. SIMON: Objection. He did not witness the construction of the trail as far as I can tell in the testimony.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 BY MR. CAFFRY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

24

Q Would it be fair to say then that off of the Class

II community connector trail there is a variety of native

understory vegetation, but on the trail there is little to no

vegetation of that type, and perhaps only non-native grass in

1	your professional opinion?
2	MS. SIMON: Objection.
3	THE COURT: Sustained. Let's let him do the
4	testifying.
5	Q In your opinion, is there a variety of vegetation
6	on the trailside of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Is that native understory vegetation or something
9	else?
L 0	A It appears to be native.
L1	Q And is it also your opinion there is very little of
L2	that on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail itself?
L3	A Yes.
L 4	Q Do canopy openings in the forest create
L 5	opportunities for invasive plant species to colonize
L 6	withdrawn.
L 7	Do canopy openings along community connector
L 8	trails in a forest create opportunities for invasive plant
L 9	species to colonize those trails and the areas around them?
20	A They do through two causes of action. To the
21	extent the canopy is open, that provides the sunshine that
22	many invasive types of plants utilize. And then they also
23	the process of trail construction inevitably leads to soil
24	disturbance and soil disturbance promotes the germination of

many kinds of seeds, especially including disturbance loving
plants, such as many invasive plant species. Oh, and if I
can continue.

O Please do.

A The other -- the third tendency for exotic and invasive plants to colonize a trail or community connector trail or small road such as this, would be the actual intrusion of humans and human equipment on the trail during the construction process.

Seeds have a way of attaching themselves to those types of things and then making their way down the trail.

Then the fourth is that if any seed mixes are used to try to prevent erosion on the surface of the trail, it's not unusual for such seed mixes to be contaminated. You know, if you look on a bag -- I'm not saying they used grass seed from a hardware store, but if you look at a bag of grass seed from a hardware store, it always lists the percentage of weeds that are contained, the maximum percentage of weeds contained in the bag. And that's just indicative of how hard it is to prevent the contamination of non-native and exotic weed species in any kind of mix that you would use to revegetate.

Q Could invasive plant species such as that, spread

1 | from a trail into the forest near the trail?

A Yes, it can. I believe that the published evidence that I'm aware of suggests invasive plant penetration of up to about 15 meters on either side of a forest road. It depends on the extent to which the exotic plant is able to tolerate the conditions that are in the understory of the undisturbed forest. There are some invasive plants that can actually outcompete native plants in the understory.

Q And during your inspections of the Class II community connector trails last August, did you observe any invasive or non-native plant species?

A I did. Principally on the Newcomb to Minerva

Trail, particularly at the start of the trail there was a

fair number of examples of common ragweed, which is, I

believe, to be a native species to North America, but it's

expanding its range due to human disturbance.

Q Dr. Sutherland, I've handed you what's been marked as Plaintiff's 122 for identification. Can you identify that?

A Yes, I can. This is a photo I took on

August 16th, 2016, right at the start of the Newcomb to

Minerva community connector trail there, not far from the

Santanoni historic area.

Q And does it fairly and accurately represent the

1 scene depicted at the time that you took it? 2. It does. 3 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit 4 122 be admitted into evidence. 5 MS. SIMON: Can I ask voir dire? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SIMON: 9 Could you be more precise where you saw it? on the Santanoni historic area or is it on the trail? 10 11 MR. CAFFRY: Objection, your Honor. I objected 12 before when Miss Simon attempted to ask the witness 13 which bureaucratic boundary of the State Land Master 14 Plan it was located in. She asked about the Santanoni historic area. That's another administrative unit and I 15 16 don't think that the name of the administrative unit is 17 relevant. 18 THE COURT: Did you understand the question? THE WITNESS: I did. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Can you differentiate 21 between the two areas that Miss Simon just described in 22 her question? 23 THE WITNESS: In this case I can.

THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.

441 (Ronald Sutherland - Direct by Mr. Caffry) 1 You may answer the question. 2 This was literally right at the start of the trail. It was on the trail itself. 3 4 MS. SIMON: Okay. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MS. SIMON: No. 7 THE COURT: All right, 122 is received into evidence. 8 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 122 received in 10 evidence.) BY MR. CAFFRY: 11 12 Dr. Sutherland, is ragweed such as is depicted in 13 Exhibit 122 --14 MS. SIMON: Objection. It hasn't been identified 15 yet, I don't believe. 16 MR. CAFFRY: I'm sorry. 17 Could you tell us what Exhibit 122 shows? 18 Yes. This is a photo I took of a large specimen of Α 19 common raqweed. 20 Is that species found in a forest interior? 21 Not commonly. Α 22 Q Why is that?

Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

that is sun loving and grows on the forest edges and

This particular species is a classic invasive plant

23

- 1 disturbed areas and also agricultural fields.
 - Q But if it does become established on a location in a forest, such as on a community connector trail, could it persist as long as there is still an opening in the canopy?
 - A Yes, as long as the canopy opening is maintained.
 - Q To your knowledge, is this the type of invasive plant that you said could be found as an impurity in a seed mix in the manner you previously described?
 - A I think it's an example, yes, of that kind of plant.
 - Q If ragweed is native to the State of New York, in your professional opinion, would it still be considered to be an invasive species in the forest interior settings where the Class II community connector trails are located in which you observed?
 - A Yes, I would agree. The rather extensive forest environment of the Adirondacks would have prevented the colonization of the species like this.
 - Q Did any of the effects of canopy openings on the vegetation types on the two trails that you observed, extend off the trail into the woods?
 - A That I witnessed personally?
- 23 Q Yes.

24 A I did not attempt to census the vegetation

immediately off the trail to try to discern any changes between the vegetation immediately along the trail and the vegetation that was further away from the trails or roads.

So, apart from the, you know, the damage to the immediate environment off the trail that was caused by, for example, the bench cuts, that was the main difference that I can attest to.

Q Do the effects of manmade canopy openings in a forest tend to persist or do they go away with time?

A That's an excellent question. The answer is complicated. You know, whether or not a canopy opening persists is a function of whether the causes that caused the canopy to open in the first place persist.

So, for example, are the trees continuing to be cut down obviously would be one obvious solution.

If the canopy opening is narrow enough, then trees have a tendency to close that gap as they search for more sunlight and that's a natural process, but that process can be stymied if, for example, there is continued damage to the trees that would otherwise be closing that gap. If there is high mortality of those trees over an ongoing basis, that could be a factor that would lead to the canopy openings persisting.

Q And what might cause such mortality?

A Continued injuries to the trees, for example, and
also my understanding is that, you know, we have talked about
this already, that some of the elements that cause edge
effects like wind, increased wind and increased sunlight in
canopy openings, they do have a tendency to cause ongoing
tree mortality. That tendency is counteracted by the natural
tendency of vegetation to regrow. And so which side wins is
really a function of if the relative degree of impact
continues.

- Q And would continued human use of a trail beneath such a canopy opening have an effect on whether or not the opening persists?
- A Yes, it would.

2.2

- O And how would that work?
- A Well, for example, a continued mechanized use of the trail, number one, would, and maintenance of the trail itself to allow the mechanized use of the trail, would prevent trees from growing directly on the road surface, the trail surface itself, which would be the most direct action of the canopy closure. But then those same processes could lead to ongoing damage to the trees immediately adjacent to the trail.
- Q And what would cause such ongoing damage during maintenance?

A The obvious things, such as maintenance equipment hitting the trees and either on accident or some attempt to widen the trail. Or in the case of use of the trail, if users of the trail happen to hit the trees themselves on a regular basis or any amount sufficient to injure a tree.

Q And in your professional opinion, how long can canopy openings such as those you observed on the Class II trails persist?

A In the right circumstances and with the impacts that I have just discussed, if they are sufficient, the canopy openings could persist indefinitely. If impacts are not sufficient to control the vegetation, then they would close over a period of years or decades.

Q And can impacts to the ecology of the forest, such as you have previously described on native vegetation, animal populations and such, can they persist after the canopy begins to close or even completely closes?

A Yes, they can. Yeah. A recent review of the ecological impacts of the forest roads concludes that such impacts persist long after the use of the roads even stops. And a lot of the ecological impacts of roads stem from, for example, the opening in the canopy. So one could infer that the active canopy closure over a road is not sufficient to immediately mitigate those effects.

1 I think I mentioned yesterday that there are 2 studies of salamander populations in the Appalachians, which 3 have broadly similar vegetation to what you see up here. 4 That salamander populations on old forest roads that were 5 manmade years ago have yet to recover due to differences in 6 the microclimate and the available habitat caused by the road 7 in this case. Those forests presumably had closed canopy at 8 that point, because the road itself had been abandoned for 80 9 years. 10 Were the open canopy conditions with grass growing 11 on the trail of the type that you have just testified about 12 this morning really common on the Seventh Lake Mountain 13 Trail? 14 MS. SIMON: Objection. Asked and answered. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. CAFFRY: 17 If you were to assume hypothetically speaking that grass like that was found to be growing at over half of all 18 19 of a set of randomly selected points spread over the entire 20 length of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail, in your 21 professional opinion, would that create a significant impact 22 on the ecology of the forest? 23 Yes, I think it would. Α 24 And can you explain why? Q

trails?

A Well, once you have an extensive feature like an
eight-mile road, for example, like the one that I hiked at
Seventh Lake Mountain Trail, and that feature was covered,
you know, half or more of it was open canopy conditions, such
with grass and other types of open canopy vegetation I should
say, then that would imply a certain degree of connectivity
in the open canopy edge environment, and that would allow,
you know, not only does that represent a fairly substantial
amount of open canopy just in terms of geography alone, but
it also would allow what I mean by connectivity is the flow
of plants and animals that are adapted to that kind of
environment. Once you hit above 50 percent that you have
enough of that kind of habitat that different species are
able to basically flow up and down that trail and colonize
more of the forest interior environment that they would
otherwise not have access to.
Q In your professional opinion, do the adverse
effects of the canopy openings that you have described on the
Class II community connector trails, impair the normal
functioning of the forest ecosystem along those trails?
A Yes, I think they do.
Q And did you observe this happening on both of those

Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

MS. SIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.

- 1 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q Was the level of impairment on those trails 3 significant?
- 4 MS. SIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.

5 THE COURT: I will sustain that as both asked and

answered and leading and, frankly, calling for a

7 conclusory response.

MR. CAFFRY: I will move on.

9 BY MR. CAFFRY:

8

15

- 10 Q Dr. Sutherland, is wildlife part of a forest
 11 ecosystem?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q What roles does it play in the forest ecosystem?
- A Well, the animals in the forest ecosystem are

16 the ecosystem. You know, the other ones being the plants and

generally considered to be one of several major components of

the decomposers primarily speaking. And so animals do things

18 like influence the vegetation. They influence nutrient

19 cycling. They spread seeds. They pollinate flowers. They

20 control each other's relative population levels, things like

- 21 that.
- 22 \ Q Would this be true in the Adirondacks?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can locating new snowmobile trails in an area where

- there was not previously a trail create adverse impacts to
 wildlife?
 - A I would think so, yes.
- 4 Q Is there published research on these types of 5 impacts?
 - A Yes, there is literature about the impacts of snowmobile trails themselves and also forest roads that approximate the same conditions.
 - Q Have you reviewed some of this research?
- 10 A I have.

3

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q And through this research, what kinds of impacts on wildlife have been found?
 - A So, beginning with the general fact of forest roads penetrating the forest environment, I think, you know, we have already covered some of the basics, like impacts on terrestrial salamanders, where you have reduced salamander abundance within up to 80 meters of forest roads. Forest interior birds, where you have reduced abundance of those species up to 150 meters away from the edge of the road.

Some species like moose, which I believe are trying to make a comeback in New York, the moose have been found to be sensitive to forest roads and snowmobile trails up to distances -- I think one study was up to 250 meters.

Another study found moose avoid forest roads specifically out

to	а	distance	οf	one	or	more	kilometers.
	а	arbeance	\circ	OIIC	\circ		WITTOMCCCID.

- Q Does the construction of such roads or equivalent sized trails allow for increased levels of human access to an interior forest?
- A Yes, it does. That is the construction of roads is recognized globally as one of the leading causes of wildlife depletion around the world. You know, it's particularly true in places where hunting is unregulated, but, you know, even in an environment like the Adirondacks, if you provide greater access through roads, then that's going to increase, inevitably increase the local hunting and trapping pressure along those roads.
- Q And can these impacts continue after a road or similar size snowmobile trail has been abandoned?
- A They can as long as the abandoned road or trail is still relatively convenient for human access.
 - MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I had intended to elicit some additional testimony from the witness regarding the impacts of noise from snowmobiles on the wildlife.

Yesterday you sustained an objection to a similar question and so I'm not going to elicit that or attempt to elicit that from the witness at this point.

What we would like to do, your Honor, is offer a proffer of evidence at some point, perhaps directly to

the stenographer just to preserve the issue for any potential appeal and so that we can preserve for appeal.

THE COURT: Well, your statement is noted. I think it adequately preserves it for appeal. Is there something further that you need?

You are not going to put all of your evidence on the record in a non-jury trial to show what you would have put on the record. But your statement of my ruling and of what my ruling would be on an attempt to now proffer evidence with regard to noise and its effect on wildlife is correct and I stand by it. And you continue to have your exception, but if there is something more that you need on the record, you are more than welcome to do it.

MR. CAFFRY: No, I understand. And I think the fact that you recognize that, it takes care of that question.

THE COURT: Good enough.

MR. CAFFRY: Thank you.

BY MR. CAFFRY:

Q Dr. Sutherland, if a snowmobile trail or forest road was designated as a multiple use trail that would be open to other uses in the seasons when there is not snow on the ground, such as mountain biking or hiking, would that

- also potentially impact the wildlife in the manner you have described?
 - A Yes, I believe so. The continued access during the summer and spring and fall would lead to ongoing disturbance of many species of animals that are sensitive to any kind of human intrusion.
 - Q Is that based upon -- is that opinion based upon your review of the literature and perhaps your own work and research?
 - A Yes. It's consistent with my review of the literature, I think, and there is also the issue that continued access during the summer can also lead to illegal activities during the off season months. So even in well-regulated environments, such as New York, you still have illegal activities, such as poaching.
 - MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. It's speculative and not in evidence illegal activity.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 BY MR. CAFFRY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Will the impacts on wildlife that you have just described, impair the natural condition of the forest around the Class II community connector trails?
- A Yes. I think it's generally accepted that forest roads impair the natural environment around them.

MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I know you typically take a midmorning break. I have finished that particular line of questioning. It's up to you obviously, but if this would be a good time for you to take a break, it may make sense to do so.

THE COURT: That's fine. We will take about ten minutes, folks. You may step down.

(Whereupon a brief recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All set, Mr. Caffry?

MR. CAFFRY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Please go ahead.

BY MR. CAFFRY:

Q Dr. Sutherland, in your professional work, have you observed the creation of erosion and sedimentation due to the building of roads or trails in forests?

A Yes. Incidentally to the hundreds of miles I have traveled on such roads that I have conducted over the past -- since I've been driving, yes, erosion from road construction and just the existence of roads in forest areas is a common phenomenon that I have personally observed.

Q And have you also reviewed scientific literature related to the environmental impacts of such erosion and sedimentation?

A I have.

- 1 Q Does such erosion and sedimentation create adverse
 2 impacts to the forests and the streams within them?
 - A Yes, principally the aquatic environment.
- 4 Q Could you explain that in some more detail?
- 5 THE COURT: We did go through this already once.
- 6 MR. CAFFRY: Okay. Yesterday.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes. Is there something new? I'm
- 8 looking back at my notes from yesterday.
- 9 MR. CAFFRY: All right. Fine.
- 10 THE COURT: Sensitive to sediment, going down the
- 11 hill, getting into the stream. Yes?
- MR. CAFFRY: Withdrawn. Moving on.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 BY MR. CAFFRY:

3

- 15 Q I have handed you what has been marked as Exhibits
- 16 | 110 to 112 for identification. Do you have them?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Would you look at Exhibit 110?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Can you identify that?
- 21 A Yes. This is a photo that I took on August 16th
- 22 of the Newcomb to Minerva Class II community connector trail
- 23 | and it's from the section of the trail from Santanoni to
- 24 Harris Lake.

- Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?
- 3 A It does.
- 4 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 5 110 be admitted into evidence.
- 6 MS. SIMON: May I voir dire?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. SIMON:
- 10 Q Could you give a more exact location of where on
- 11 | that trail that is located?
- 12 A Yes, if I may refresh my recollection.
- THE COURT: You may refresh.
- 14 A Okay. This picture was taken just past the third
- 15 | bridge that is on that snowmobile trail on your way from
- 16 | Santanoni to Harris Lake.
- 17 MS. SIMON: Thank you. No objections.
- THE COURT: 110 is received into evidence.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, would it be best to wait
- 20 for -- to go through all three before the stenographer
- 21 marks them?
- THE COURT: That would be fine.
- 23 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q Can you tell the Court what number 110 shows?

A Yes. So we have at the top of the photo there is

Mr. Davis and Miss Greene walking on the trail or road and in

the foreground there is two large ruts that parallel each

other going through on the road surface or trail surface.

And near where Mr. Davis and Miss Greene are blocking, there

is an extensive area of grass that's growing surrounded by

straw. And the two ruts in the foreground have standing

water in them, as you can see. And there also appears to be

soil erosion happening on the lower right corner of the photo

where the influence of the ruts appears to be leading to the

soil moving downslope from those ruts.

- Q Could you please look at Exhibit 111 for identification?
- A Yes. This is a photo that I took of the Newcomb to Minerva Trail on August $16^{\mbox{th}}$, 2016.
 - Q What part of the trail was it taken on?
- 17 A I would have to refresh my recollection with the 18 same.
- 19 Q Please do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 20 A With the same material here.
- 21 This picture was taken just past the fifth 22 bridge on your way from Santanoni to Lake Harris.
- Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?

- 1 A It does.
- 2 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 3 111 be admitted into evidence.
- 4 MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Exhibit 111 is received into evidence.
- 6 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 7 Q Would you look at Exhibit 112 for identification?
- 8 A Yes. This is a photo that I took on August 18th
- 9 on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- 10 Q Do you know where on the Seventh Lake Mountain
- 11 Trail?
- 12 A It would be better if I refreshed my recollection.
- 13 Q Please do.
- 14 A This photo was taken on the Seventh Lake Mountain
- 15 | Trail roughly half a mile from the start of the trail from
- 16 Moose River Road.
- 17 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 18 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 19 A It does.
- 20 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 21 112 be admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Exhibit 112 is received into evidence.
- You can mark them all now.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 110, 111 and 112 1 2 received in evidence.) 3 BY MR. CAFFRY: Dr. Sutherland, can you tell the Court what Exhibit 5 111 shows? 6 Yes, I can. It shows the Newcomb to Minerva Trail Α 7 as it goes through a forest and crosses over the large dark 8 area in the center of the photograph. It is a large, roughly 9 20 foot by 20 foot area of exposed and rather wet organic 10 soil. 11 THE COURT: 111 is Newcomb to Minerva? 12 THE WITNESS: It is. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 And what else is in that picture? Q 15 So, Miss Greene is standing there on the left side Α 16 of the photo for scale and there is a large board that 17 somebody has placed to allow for human traffic around this. 18 MS. SIMON: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 19 There is large board. And there also -- well, you 20 21 can see in the center on the lower right corner of the 22 exposed dirt area in the middle of the photograph there is an 23 exposed piece of thick black plastic. 24 Q And --

> Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

A There is also some evidence on the downstream side, which in this photo is the right side of the black swampy area in the middle of the photograph; there is some evidence of black organic soil draining off of that exposed mud.

Q Where does it appear to be draining to?

A Down the hill and there is no obvious stream in this picture, but one can infer from the topography that as you would proceed downhill, eventually there will be a stream.

MS. SIMON: Objection. Speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q It's going off of the trail?

A Yes.

Q Would you look at Exhibit 112 and tell the Court what that shows?

A Yes. Here you have a photo from the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail that shows Mr. Davis, Mr. Amadon, and Mr. Bauer hiking along. And the most prominent feature in the photograph is the large body of water, roughly eight feet by six feet, that's in the lower right corner of the photograph and it's surrounded by exposed organic soil. And there is another slightly smaller area of standing water on the same road or trail that Mr. Amadon is taking a photograph of.

Q Where is that located in relation to the first one?

A It's roughly 10 or 15 feet down the trail and directly above it in the photograph.

Q And were this type of muddy conditions like those you have just described in those three exhibits common on the two Class II trails that you observed?

A Relatively common, yes.

Q Based on your experience and training, what can cause conditions like that in a road or trail?

A Two things I would say would cause it. One would be when the trail road was constructed, if there was natural variation in the topography and the construction of the trail led to essentially the damning of areas that would otherwise have drained due to altering the fine scale topography of the environment along the roadbed. If that is sufficient to create areas to collect water, that can lead to large puddles that you see here.

And then the other source of creation of this type of feature that I have observed around the southeast where I spend most of time, is human use, particularly motor vehicle use. If you have an area of wet soil that is traversed by vehicles, then that often leads to the displacement of that soil as the vehicle travels often times gets stuck.

And then those problems tend to get worse over

time. So it starts as a small hole. It becomes a big hole because people try to drive around it and people spin their tires, for example, when they get stuck.

MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor, to this line of answer. I'm going to renew my objection from yesterday that this witness is not certified, nor does he have any training and experience in road or trail construction to opine on causes of what he has seen on these trails and they are conclusory in nature.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I will take the evidence for what it is worth.

Go ahead.

BY MR. CAFFRY:

Q Based upon your experience and training, could summer use of a multi-use snowmobile trail by hikers and mountain bikers contribute to this condition on a trail?

A Yes, it could. As you can see in Exhibit 112, we were forced to walk around the outside of the muddy, swampy areas, and that has a tendency under wetter conditions than what we observed in this photo, but as I have seen in various other trails and road environments, when people are forced to go around features like this, that has a natural tendency to enlarge them and maintain them.

Q And does that then contribute to the erosion and

- 1 | sedimentation of such areas?
- 2 A It does.
- 3 Q Dr. Sutherland, I have handed you what's been
- 4 | marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 113 and 114 for
- 5 | identification. Can you look at number 113?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Can you identify that?
- 8 A This is a photo I took of the portion of the
- 9 Newcomb to Minerva Class II community connector snowmobile
- 10 | trail at the end of the Santanoni to Lake Harris segment of
- 11 | that trail as, literally as the community connector trail
- 12 | comes down the hill and joins the road that goes around Lake
- 13 Harris.
- Q What date did you take that on?
- 15 A August 16th, 2016.
- 16 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 17 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 18 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I move that Exhibit 113 be
- 20 admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: 113 is received into evidence.
- 23 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q Could you look at number 114?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Can you identify that?
- A This is a photo I took on a portion of the Newcomb to Minerva Trail between Santanoni and Lake Harris.
- 5 Q And on what date?
- 6 A August 16th.
- 7 Q Can you be more specific as to where on that trail 8 this photograph was taken?
- 9 A Yes, but I would want to refresh my recollection.
- 10 Q Please do.
- 11 A So this photo was taken at the second bridge from 12 Santanoni heading to Harris Lake.
- Q And does it fairly and accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you took it?
- 15 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 17 114 be admitted.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Exhibit 114 is received into evidence.
- 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 113 and 114
- 21 received in evidence.)
- 22 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- Q Going back to number 113, can you tell the Court
- 24 | what that shows?

A Yes. This is given the end of the Class II
community connector trail as it comes down a steep hill and
adjoins the gravel road that you can see in the immediate
foreground that proceeds to the Harris Lake Campground. And
the snowmobile trail or the road appears to expand in width
as it proceeds down the hill, from sort of the normal width
of those trails, which was in between eight and ten feet in
the center of the photo, to roughly twice that width where it
joins the road.

And there is one track from a mountain bike that we identified going down the left side of the snowmobile trail that you can see, that thin dark line in the photo.

And then on the -- well, the trail surface itself is covered with straw primarily, a few pockets of grass, and on the center right of the photo there is a bench cut, one to two feet in height, where you can see exposed black soil.

MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor, as to identifying the rut, referred to as a mountain bike rut. It's not in evidence.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained absent further evidence from Dr. Sutherland with regard to his identification of the mountain bike.

- 1 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 2 Dr. Sutherland, when you were at this location
- 3 | yourself at approximately the time you took the photograph,
- 4 | that is Exhibit 113, did you personally observe any mountain
- 5 | bike tracks?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q And is that a mountain bike track that you
- 8 testified appears in the picture?
- 9 A It is.
- 10 Q And is this location near any water bodies?
- THE COURT: Any what?
- MR. CAFFRY: Water bodies.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 A Yes, it is. As I said, the gravel road in the
- 15 | foreground of the picture is one that immediately proceeds to
- 16 Harris Lake or Lake Harris.
- 17 Q And did you observe the topography between this
- 18 | trail and the lake?
- 19 A I did, but at this point I probably cannot describe
- 20 | it in great detail.
- 21 Q Is it generally downhill, uphill? Do you remember
- 22 that?
- MS. SIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.
- THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer if you can,

1	Doctor.
2	A It's downhill.
3	Q So, would it appear that water and sediment running
4	off from the location depicted in the picture will drain into
5	Lake Harris?
6	A Yes, eventually.
7	Q Did you observe this or does the picture, Exhibit
8	113, show any evidence of erosion occurring in that location?
9	A It does, particularly on the left and right sides
10	of the trail or road. Again, we already talked about the
11	exposed soil on the center right of the photograph. And
12	there is also an area of exposed soil on the bottom left of
13	the photograph where the road, where the snowmobile trail
14	hits the road. And as a matter of principle, when you have a
15	hillside that's this steep and you have a trail or road
16	surface that is made of dirt, then erosion is inevitable.
17	THE COURT: The question, Doctor, was whether there
18	was evidence of erosion.
19	THE WITNESS: Right.
20	THE COURT: Which I take to mean active occurring
21	erosion in the picture.
22	THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
23	THE COURT: Is there some that you identified?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes. The two things I mentioned

1	first.
2	THE COURT: The one on the right, is that what you
3	identified as the bench cut already?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	THE COURT: So there is evidence of erosion in
6	addition to the bench cut?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: What's that?
9	THE WITNESS: The exposed soil. And with exposed
10	soil is actually coming down from the bench cut into
11	the, mixing in with the straw.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	Go ahead.
14	BY MR. CAFFRY:
15	Q That's on the right side of the photograph?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did you observe any active erosion on the other
18	side of the trail depicted in the photograph?
19	A Yes, I did. The area of exposed soil on that lower
20	left side of the photograph appeared to me at the time to be
21	an area of active erosion.
22	Q Given the steepness of the snowmobile trail or road
23	in this location that you have testified to, do you have an
24	opinion as to whether or not there will be further erosion at

this location?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yes, I do. I think based on my experience with other forest roads in hilly or mountainous terrain, that this particular segment of the trail will continue to experience loss of soil fairly quickly.

Q Turning now to Exhibit 114, can you describe what that photograph shows?

This is a closer picture I took showing one of the bridges over the Newcomb to Minerva Trail between Santanoni and Harris Lake. The bridge itself is on the left side of the photograph and there is a creek that the bridge goes over. That's on the bottom portion of the photograph. There is a series of rocks leading from the top end of the bridge that's shown in the photograph, down into the creek. And then there is rocks in the creek and there is a large amount of straw applied on the road itself, on the trail itself, which extends through the top left of the photograph. And then there is an area of exposed black soil directly above the top end of the bridge on the upper left of the photograph. And there is also evidence of soil eroding around the rocks on the, above the creek. And then also there is an area of exposed sediment directly adjacent to the edge of the creek between the rocks and the lower middle section of the photograph that I infer as being the result of

- 1 | erosion of the same soil between those rocks.
- 2 Q And did you observe that sediment in the creek
- 3 itself?
- A No, I did not.
- 5 Q Is it reasonable to assume given the laws of
- 6 gravity, that some of it might wind up there?
- 7 MS. SIMON: Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 10 Q I would like to ask you to look at Exhibit 101,
- 11 | which was previously admitted into evidence. Do you recall
- 12 | that photograph?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q Do you recall testifying about that depicting a
- 15 | bench cut and showing how erosion was occurring in that
- 16 | location?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Are the erosion conditions that you just testified
- 19 about as shown in Exhibits 101, 113, and 114, common on the
- 20 | Newcomb to Minerva Trail at the time that you observed it?
- 21 THE COURT: What were those numbers again, 101,
- 22 113, and 114?
- MR. CAFFRY: Correct, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Did I miss one or that is it?

- 1 MR. CAFFRY: That's it.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
- 3 A Yes, they were.
- 4 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 5 Q Dr. Sutherland, I have handed you what's been
- 6 | marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 115 to 119 for identification.
- 7 | Could you please look at number 115 and tell us if you can
- 8 | identify it?
- 9 A Yes. Exhibit 115 is a photograph I took on the
- 10 | Seventh Lake Mountain Trail on August 18th.
- 11 Q Can you tell us where on the Seventh Lake Mountain
- 12 Trail?
- 13 A If I refresh my recollection.
- 14 Q Please do so.
- 15 A This photograph was taken a tenth of a mile past
- 16 | the first bridge in the first section of that trail on the
- 17 | way from Moose River Road.
- 18 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 19 depicted at the time when you took it?
- 20 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I move that number 115 be
- 22 admitted.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: 115 is received into evidence.

BY MR. CAFFRY: 1 2 Could you please look at Exhibit 116? 3 Α Yes. And identify it, please? 5 This is a photograph that I took on August 18th, 2016, of the Seventh Lake Mountain community 6 7 connector trail, and it is at the junction of said community connector trail with a different snowmobile trail that heads 8 9 directly into Seventh Lake. So it's between the first and second sections of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. 10 MS. SIMON: Excuse me. Could I have that read 11 12 back? 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 (Whereupon the Reporter read back the 15 answer.) 16 MS. SIMON: May I voir dire? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 Did you proffer 116? 19 MR. CAFFRY: I have not. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Caffry. 21 BY MR. CAFFRY: 22 Dr. Sutherland, does Exhibit 116 fairly and 23 accurately represent the scene depicted at the time that you 24 took it?

1	A It does.
2	MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move number 116 be
3	admitted into evidence.
4	THE COURT: Do you want to voir dire?
5	MS. SIMON: Yes, please.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead.
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SIMON:
9	Q What is the could you please identify what other
10	snowmobile trail you testified this joins?
11	A My understanding is it's called the Seventh Lake
12	Trail and that is as much as I know. It's marked, I believe,
13	on maps of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail as the smallest
14	spur trail that heads immediately to the adjacent road.
15	Q A spur trail that runs from the Seventh Lake boat
16	launch to the trail?
17	A I did not hike that other portion of the road so I
18	do not know.
19	Q Is this on segment one or segment two?
20	A As I said, it's right where segment one joins
21	segment two.
22	MS. SIMON: Thank you. No objection.
23	THE COURT: Exhibit 116 is received into evidence.

24

- 1 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 2 Q Could you please look at Exhibit 117 and identify
- 3 it?
- 4 A Yes. This is a photograph I took on the Seventh
- 5 Lake Mountain Trail on August 18th of 2016.
- 6 Q Where on the trail?
- 7 A I would have to refresh my recollection.
- 8 O Please do so.
- 9 A Okay. This photograph was taken approximately
- 10 one-sixth of a mile or about ten minutes at a slow hiking
- 11 pace from the first bridge on the Seventh Lake Mountain
- 12 Trail.
- 13 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 14 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 15 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 17 le admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: May I voir dire?
- 19 THE COURT: You may.
- 20 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. SIMON:
- 22 Q Dr. Sutherland, is this the first bridge coming
- 23 | from Moose River Road, which you had testified to before?
- 24 A Yes.

- 1 MS. SIMON: Okay. Thank you. No objection.
- THE COURT: Exhibit 117 is received into evidence.
- 3 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 4 Q Please look at Exhibit 118 and identify it.
- 5 A This is another photograph of a portion of the
- 6 Seventh Lake Mountain Trail that I took on August 18th,
- 7 2016.
- 8 Q Can you tell us where on the trail it was taken?
- 9 A If I could refresh my recollection.
- 10 Q Please do.
- 11 A This photograph was taken roughly a tenth of a mile
- 12 | past bridge number ten on the first section of the Seventh
- 13 Lake Mountain Trail.
- 14 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 15 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 16 A It does.
- 17 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 18 like admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: May I voir dire, please?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MS. SIMON:
- 23 Q Can you identify the segment, segment one or
- 24 | segment two, where bridge number ten is?

475 (Ronald Sutherland - Direct by Mr. Caffry) 1 Α Segment one. 2 Q It's segment one. Okay. Thank you. 3 THE COURT: No objection? 4 MS. SIMON: Sorry. No objection. 5 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 118 is received 6 into evidence. 7 BY MR. CAFFRY: 8 Could you please look at Exhibit 119 and identify 9 it? Yes. This is a photograph I took on the Seventh 10 Lake Mountain Trail on August 18th, 2016. 11 12 Where on the trail was it taken? 13 I would prefer to refresh my recollection. Α 14 Q. Please do. 15 So this photograph shows bridge four on the first 16 section of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. 17 Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene

- 18 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 19 Α It does.
- 20 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
- 21 119 be admitted into evidence.
- 22 MS. SIMON: One more voir dire, please?
- 23 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
- 24 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

1 BY MS. SIMON: 2 Could you please identify the segment of the trail? 3 Α Yes. That would be the first segment as well. 4 MS. SIMON: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: No objection? 6 MS. SIMON: No objection. 7 THE COURT: Exhibit 119 is received into evidence. Is that it for the series? 8 9 MR. CAFFRY: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Let's get the photos marked. So 115 11 through 119. 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 115 through 119 13 received in evidence.) 14 BY MR. CAFFRY: 15 Dr. Sutherland, could you look back at Exhibit 115? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 Can you tell the Court what it shows? Q 18 Well, in the top of the photograph you have Mr. 19 Amadon and Mr. Bauer. And in the lower right corner of the 20 photograph there is an area of moving standing water. 21 is ripples on the surface of the water. And underneath that 22 water and adjacent to that water is a fairly substantial 23 chunk of exposed rock, brownish rock. 24 And then moving up the trail this actually

> Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter

shows — it's difficult to show the steep terrain in a static photograph, but this was a very steep portion of the trail and there is a piece of grass in the center of the trail or road and what appeared to be two furrows or ruts on either side of that piece of grass.

And on the right side, the right version of, or, yeah, the right hand furrow or rut in this photograph, there is a substantial area of exposed rocks heading down towards the pool of water in the bottom right of the photograph.

And between those small rocks you can also see more standing water and some evidence of water flowing down along those rocks into the standing pool.

Q And do you see any evidence of erosion and sedimentation in this picture?

A I do. There is a substantial amount of exposed soil on both of the ruts heading down through this photograph and then there is also some areas of sediment in, scattered in the pool itself in the bottom of the photograph.

Q Can you tell from the photograph or from having been there yourself, where there is exposed rock, what the cause of those rocks being exposed was?

MS. SIMON: Objection, speculation. Calls for speculation.

1	THE COURT: The objection is sustained absent the
2	demonstration of some further basis for the opinion
3	prior to asking that final question, Mr. Caffry.
4	BY MR. CAFFRY:
5	Q Did you observe at that location any evidence that
6	erosion was actively occurring?
7	MS. SIMON: Objection. Asked and answered.
8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	Go ahead.
10	A Yes. I think it's safe to conclude that the fact
11	that there is water flowing down in the rocky areas along the
12	right hand rut in this photograph and the fact that there is
13	exposed soil on both portions of those or both of those ruts
14	heading through the photograph, there was clearly erosion
15	happening, the loss of soil heading down the hill.
16	Q And that would be as a result of the flowing water?
17	A The flowing water flowing over exposed soil.
18	Q Approximately how long in a linear sense is the
19	area of exposed soil in the ruts that you described?
20	A It appears to be at least 20 feet in length.
21	Q What are you basing that opinion on?
22	A Visual inspection of the size of the rocks and the
23	plants and also the height of Mr. Bauer.
24	Q And he's one of the individuals in the picture?

- 1 A Yes.
- 3 A He's at least six feet.
- 4 Q Have you spent some time with Mr. Bauer in the last
- 5 few days?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 MS. SIMON: Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 Q How tall are you, yourself?
- 10 A Six-foot three.
- 11 Q And is Mr. Bauer approximately the same height as
- 12 you?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Would you please look at Exhibit 116?
- 15 A Yup.
- Q Can you tell the Court what it shows?
- 17 A This picture shows the Seventh Lake Mountain
- community trail heading up directly through the center of the
- 19 | photograph. And in the exact center of the photograph there
- 20 | is a fairly steep hill that the trail progresses up as it
- 21 gets further away from the camera. And there is a large --
- 22 | well, there is a sign on the tree in the left center of the
- 23 | photograph that says snowmobile trail and it points in the
- 24 direction that the trail proceeds up the hill. And then

there is forest surrounding that trail. And then on the trail itself as it proceeds up the hill there are, again, two parallel ruts in the surface of the trail that are exposed dirt and there is one area of grass in the center of that portion of the trail.

Q And when you were there and/or as depicted in the photograph, is there any evidence of erosion occurring on the hill that you have just described where the trail goes up the hill?

A Yes. There was some evidence of continued loss of soil down those two ruts down the surface of that steep hill.

- Q Looking at Exhibit 117, please.
- 13 A Um-hum. Yes.

Q Can you tell the Court what that shows?

A This photograph shows Mr. Bauer standing above — setting on the Seventh Lake community connector trail and he's standing above a rather impressively steep section of the trail that is marked by a large planer surface of exposed rock that I think is bedrock in this setting. And then there is heavy concentrations of grass and ferns on both sides, all three, the left, right, and in the foreground of the photograph. And there is also a recently fallen tree on the upper left of the photograph where the root bed of that tree is exposed.

1	Q Could you tell, when you refer to the root bed,
2	which feature in the photograph is the root bed of the tree?
3	A It's the large dark area in the upper left of the
4	photograph where you can see the trunk of the tree that hits
5	the edge, upper left edge of the tree, or the photograph.
6	And then the roots are visible along this mound of material
7	that appears in the upper left of the photograph and that is
8	the root mound.
9	Q What's located immediately below and to the right
10	of that root mound?
11	A There is a series of rocks and an extension of the
12	same bedrock feature that you see in the middle of the
13	photograph.
14	Q And you referred to exposed bedrock in this
15	picture. Do you know approximately how high that area of
16	bedrock is?
17	A My recollection was that it was approximately
18	six feet tall, possibly a little more.
19	Q Does Mr. Bauer's presence in the photo tell you
20	anything about the height of the bedrock?
21	A It does. He's a little further back behind the
22	bedrock in the front of the photograph, which is why it's not
23	quite as tall as it might appear, but I think it was at least
24	as tall as Mr. Bauer.

Q And based upon your observation of this scene and your professional training and experience, is the presence of exposed bedrock like this in the middle of the trail a natural condition or is it somehow related to the trail?

A Clearly the rock would have been there regardless of the presence of the trail, but the rock would have been covered. Under most normal conditions the rock would have been covered with soil and vegetation, though not this vegetation, the grass, heavy grass and ferns, indicating an open canopy situation.

Typically you would only see exposed bedrock with this kind of slope in a situation where you would have running water, like a waterfall, for example. And I think there is -- so my professional opinion is that there was a good chance that the rock was covered by just a thin layer of soil.

You can infer a thin layer of soil by the root mound that has been peeled off of the upper left side of the photograph. There was rock underneath, directly underneath that tree. And the root mound in this case was a foot or less in depth in its original condition rising up from the bedrock. So it wouldn't have been very thick, but I think it's safe to infer that there would have been some covering of soil and vegetation, natural vegetation over this surface.

1 Q You say there would have been. Do you have an 2 opinion as to what would have caused the change?

MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. This all calls for speculation.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Dr. Sutherland testified to there being a good chance, in his opinion, that there was a degree of soil, open bedrock that's depicted in 117.

If he can't testify to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty in his opinion based on his experience and the evidence that there was a layer of soil over the bedrock as he's described, then I'm going to strike the testimony.

BY MR. CAFFRY:

Q Dr. Sutherland, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty as to whether it is likely that this bedrock was previously covered with soil?

A One can say with certainty that the upper left portion of the bedrock was covered in a thin layer of soil.

As you can tell, that's what's been peeled back from when the tree fell over.

As to the rest of the bedrock, again, I think
I can only express in terms of probability, not with
certainty, whether it was covered in soil.

Q And moving on to Exhibit 118, can you tell the Court what that shows?

A So this is the portion of the Seventh Lake Mountain connector trail as it proceeds downhill. In this case you are looking down the hill as you look down the trail or road and it's surrounded on both sides by fairly dense forest.

There is standing water, as far as you can see, down this particular road or trail, and it's marked by -- the roadbed itself is marked by numerous rocks and not much vegetation and some amounts of exposed soil.

Q Do you observe any erosion occurring in that location?

A Yes, and, you know, when we were there looking at this, it was obvious to me at the time that this was an example of the adjacent stream. The picture doesn't show this, but there is a stream adjacent to the photograph on the left. And a small portion of that stream had begun to flow down this road instead of flowing the stream itself. And in the literature that's called stream piracy when it captures something else, like an erodable feature like the exposed surface of a road.

Q It's your opinion that that's what's occurring as shown in this photograph?

A Yes.

1	Q And what is the longer term effect of stream piracy
2	on an exposed surface such as that depicted in Exhibit 118?
3	A Based on my experience and absent a substantial
4	amount of bedrock, which is not evident in this particular
5	photograph, the inevitable result of stream piracy flowing
6	down a road that's primarily composed of dirt, will be
7	significant erosion along that road leading to an actual
8	gully, fairly deep gully down the center of the road, unless
9	it's eventually corrected.
10	Q Does the picture show or do you recall the distance
11	over which this stream piracy was occurring in this location?
12	A It was at least one hundred feet in my recollection
13	and as you can tell in the photograph.
14	Q Do you recall where the was there a point at
15	which the stream departed the road or trail surface?
16	A I think there was, yes.
17	Q Do you recall where it went from there?
18	A Downhill.
19	Q Off the trail surface. You don't know more than
20	that?
21	A I don't recall more than that at this time, but
22	streams do go down, always go down.
23	Q Would you please look at Exhibit 119?
24	A Uh-huh.

- Q Can you please tell the Court what this photograph shows?
 - A This photograph shows Mr. Amadon on the left, Mr. Bauer on the right standing on one of the bridges on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail that we already described. And it's a relatively short bridge compared to some of the other ones we saw in terms of its length down in the direction of the road traveling, but it's the same width. It's about 12 feet wide. And the most apparent feature in the center of the photograph is a ramp of soil that's been placed leading up to the edge of the bridge and that soil is mostly exposed, a few small rocks.
 - Q When you were there or in the photograph, did you observe any signs of erosion occurring at that location?
 - A Yes. In this photograph it's particularly obvious. You can see pot marks of places where there was soil in the past and that soil has been lost as water has flowed down that ramp of soil.
 - Q And how far away is that from the stream that the bridge crosses?
 - A Less than ten feet.

- Q Given the conditions depicted in the picture, is
 that process likely to continue to occur?
- 24 A Yes, it is. Absent trees being allowed to grow in

- that ramp of soil, essentially the entire mound of soil
 that's been pushed up there will eventually erode into the
- 4 Q How many bridges, approximately, did you observe on 5 the -- withdrawn.
 - How many bridges of similar construction did you observe on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail and the Newcomb to Minerva Trail?
 - A My recollection is at least 20.
- 10 Q Was this type of construction with soil ramped up
 11 to the edge of -- withdrawn.
- 12 You testified that the soil was ramped up.
- 13 | Could you tell why that was done?
- MS. SIMON: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 Q Is the surface of the bridge at the same level as
 17 the surface of the trail approaching it or leaving it?
- A No. As general matter the bridges were about roughly a foot or a little bit more over the surface of the road.
- Q Was there soil ramped up such that it brought the surface of the road up to the level of the bridge?
- 23 A Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

creek.

Q Was this type of bridge construction with the soil

1 | ramps typical of the 20 or so bridges that you observed?

A It was common, yes. There was some other bridges that had wooden ramps, but this was also a common feature.

Q And were the erosion and sedimentation conditions that you just testified about in the last, I believe, five exhibits, 115 through 119, were they common on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?

A They were.

Q On wood roads and trails do erosions and sedimentation conditions like those that you have now testified about on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail, do they tend to get better over time or do they get worse?

MS. SIMON: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q In your professional opinion, do you have a professional opinion as to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, whether over time on wood roads and trails, erosion and sedimentation conditions, such as you have just testified about on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail, do they improve over time or do they get worse?

A My professional opinion, based on my years of experience, is that such conditions continue to get worse unless some action is taken to ameliorate them or unless vegetation is allowed to regrow immediately on the exposed

1	soil.	
2	Q	Dr. Sutherland

MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, these two exhibits which I have handed the witness and he's about to testify are the last exhibits we are going to have him testify about.

We will have a few more questions after that on a different topic, but there won't be more exhibits.

THE COURT: Thank you for the update.

BY MR. CAFFRY:

2.2

- Q Dr. Sutherland, as part of your research and professional work, have you studied old growth forests?
- 13 A I have.
 - Q In your professional opinion, what is an old growth forest?

A When it comes to definitions I have a preference, personal preference for simple and pragmatic definitions. So my personal definition of old growth forest is indeed a forest that has not been subject to stand replacement disturbance for a relatively long period of time. So the trees are relatively old compared to conditions that are present elsewhere.

THE COURT: That has not been subject to what for a relatively long period of time?

1	THE WITNESS: Disturbance. Stand replacing
2	disturbance where the trees have all been replaced by
3	some other disaster.
4	MS. SIMON: Could I just have that read back again?
5	I missed a word or something there.
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	(Whereupon the Reporter read back the
8	answer.)
9	BY MR. CAFFRY:
10	Q Dr. Sutherland, could you clarify what you mean by
11	stand replacement disturbance?
12	A Yes. Stand replacement disturbance is disturbance
13	that affects the that removes in some way or kills in some
14	way the majority of the trees in the stand all at once.
15	Q What is a stand of trees?
16	A Just a collection of trees on the site. So the
17	forest.
18	Q And is your personal definition as you have
19	described it of an old growth forest, is that consistent with
20	scientific literature that you have reviewed and studied?
21	A It is. And often times there is attempts made to
22	add age classifications to sort of minimum age for what
23	constitutes an old growth forest. Estimates I have read for
24	that range from, you know, 120 years and up or 150 years and

up and so on, but there is also the admission that it depends on the type of forest as to what qualifies that.

Q And does your definition of old growth forest differ from what's sometimes, at least in the -- by lay people referred to as virgin forest?

A It does. You know, the virgin forest implies, especially in this country, virgin forest implies forest that has never been cut down by humans, especially European, humans of European descent. And while such, you know, that type of forest certainly does qualify for the old growth forest definition, humans of European descent have been on this particular continent for long enough now that we actually have stands of old growth forest that have grown back over a period of hundreds of years that have attained the unique characteristics of old growth forest, even if some of the trees may have been cut down many hundreds of years ago.

Q Are old growth forests ecologically important?

A Yes, they are. They are believed to be very important and at the same time believed to be very rare around the world, but a recent review of old growth forests and large old trees in particular concluded that such stands of large old trees and old growth forests play a remarkable number of important roles in the ecosystem.

Q Could you tell us what some of them are? In particular, some of those roles that they may play in a location like the Adirondacks.

A Sure. I guess the place to start would be that old growth forests provide unique habitats for a number of species that are specialized to live in old growth habitats and this is because of the size of the trees and the density of the canopy. The trees themselves provide unique habitats when they reach a certain size. They start to provide more — at a certain age they provide more holes and cavities in the trees. For example, that's a feature of old growth forests and there are species that are adapted to living in those holes and cavities.

The bark on old growth trees becomes substantially different than younger growth trees and that provides habitat for numerous species. And that's just the trees themselves.

Another unique feature of old growth forests, due to their lack of significant disturbance over a period of hundreds of years, another feature is that they have built up substantial amounts of organic material on the surface of the forest floor. And not only does that provide its own unique habitats for numerous species, but it also serves as a very important filtration system for preventing nutrients from

- 1 being lost from the ecosystem.
- Q Are old growth forests common in the eastern United
 3 States?
 - A They are not.

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 5 Q Are they rare in the eastern United States?
- A Yes. Looking at the entire United States as a whole, old growth forests are rare.
 - Q Are they common in the Adirondacks?
 - A My understanding is that the Adirondacks has one of the largest concentrations of old growth forests in the eastern United States.
- 12 Q And does that give it any significance outside the 13 immediate locale of the Adirondacks?
 - A Yes. It's recognized. Well, among the conservation biologists it's generally recognized that the world remaining old growth forests are highly valuable from a conservation biodiversity standpoint. And so any large concentrations of old growth forests that are left are even more valuable because they are so rare.
 - Q And did you observe any old growth forest during your site visit to the Newcomb to Minerva Trail?
 - A Yes, there was one section.
- O Where was that?
- 24 A It was along that segment of the trail from

1 Santanoni to Lake Harris.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Q And can you describe what you observed?
- A Yes, I can. In that section of trail there was

 numerous large old trees that were wider than the trees we

 observed in other portions of the forest, much greater

 diameters, and the understory vegetation in those portions of

 the forest was lush and filled with a very well developed

 ground cover, which also implies a significant amount of time
 - Q I have just handed you what's previously been admitted into evidence as Exhibit 79. You have seen this map. Can you describe for the Court where on the map you were -- excuse me.
 - Did you observe any old growth forest during your site visit to the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
- 16 A Yes, I did.

since disturbance.

- Q And using Exhibit 79, can you describe for the

 Court where on that map you were when you observed old growth

 forest on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
- 20 A Yes, I can. It was the second segment of the trail 21 that's marked in blue on this map.
- Q Within that segment where was the old growth forest located?
- 24 A My recollection is it was fairly extensive. We saw

- 1 | the photo of the hill. I can't remember the exhibit now, but
- 2 | the exhibit that had the snowmobile trail sign on it. After
- 3 | that hill, once the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail headed up the
- 4 | hill, and was on the side of the hill slope, the old growth
- 5 | continued until the trail began to descend again down to what
- 6 | I believe is called the Old Uncas Road.
- 7 Q What was the approximate length of this stand of
- 8 | old growth forest that you walked through on the Seventh Lake
- 9 Mountain Trail?
- 10 A Approximately two miles.
- 11 Q I have handed you a moment ago Exhibit 120 for
- 12 | identification. Can you look at that?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Can you identify that document?
- 15 A Yes. This is a photo I took of a tree on the
- 16 | Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
- 17 Q Was that within the section of the Seventh Lake
- 18 | Mountain Trail you just described?
- 19 A Yes, it was.
- Q What date did you take that on?
- 21 A August 18th, 2016.
- 22 Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene
- 23 depicted at the time that you took it?
- 24 A Yes, it does.

- 1 MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit 2 120 be admitted into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: May I voir dire?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. SIMON:

7

8

- Q Looking at the map, Plaintiff's 79, could you give us an approximation of the location of this tree in the photograph on 120?
- 10 A Yes. May I refresh my recollection?
- 11 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- A Yes. This photograph was taken -- it was taken

 close to bridge 13 on that second segment of the Seventh Lake

 Mountain Trail, which looking at the map would have been

 roughly two-thirds of the way from the orange first segment

 going towards the purple segment.
- MS. SIMON: Okay. Thank you.
- No objection.
- THE COURT: You proffered 120?
- MR. CAFFRY: Yes, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Exhibit 120 is received into evidence.
- 22 Do you want to do 120? Is there a second one as
- 23 well?
- MR. CAFFRY: There is, but I would like to proceed

with 120 at this time after the stenographer marks it. 1 2 THE COURT: No problem. 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 120 received in 4 evidence.) 5 THE COURT: Go ahead. 6 BY MR. CAFFRY: 7 Can you tell the Court what Exhibit 120 shows? 8 Yes, I can. It is a very large specimen of a tree 9 and with a very impressive spreading canopy above it. And, 10 yes, I believe the tree is a sugar maple. And in your opinion, is that an old growth tree? 11 12 Yes. It's my recollection upon looking at this 13 tree in person is that it represented a very old tree. 14 And was this in the old growth stand of trees that 15 you previously testified about? 16 Yes, it was. There were numerous other trees that 17 approximated the size. This was a particularly impressive 18 specimen. 19 Can you or did you estimate its diameter? 20 My recollection was that it was between two and 21 three feet. 22 And at what height did you estimate that diameter? 23 The typical breast height that is used by 24 foresters.

- Q Could you please look at Exhibit 121 and tell us if you could identify that?
- A Yes. This is a photograph I took on the Seventh
 Lake Mountain Trail.
- 5 Q On what date?
- 6 A August 18th.
- 7 Q Where on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail was it 8 taken?
- 9 A It was within that second section of the trail. To
 10 be more precise I would have to refresh my recollection.
- MS. SIMON: I'm sorry. Excuse me. I didn't hear that answer.
- 13 A It was within the second segment of the trail and
 14 to be more precise than that I would have to refresh my
 15 recollection.
- 16 BY MR. CAFFRY:
- 17 Q Could you do so, please?
- 18 A Sure. It was immediately adjacent to bridge 17.
- 19 Q Does it fairly and accurately represent the scene 20 depicted at the time that you took the photograph?
- 21 A It does.
- MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I would move Exhibit 121
- 23 into evidence.
- MS. SIMON: No objection.

THE COURT: Exhibit 121 is received. Hang on one second, Mr. Caffry, unless you have another one.

MR. CAFFRY: No. That's it.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 121 received in

5 evidence.)

BY MR. CAFFRY:

Q Can you tell the Court what Exhibit 121 depicts?

A Yes, I can. This was the end of a tree that had been cut by some human action. You can tell that because of the straightness of the cut. And it was a large tree that appeared to be an old growth tree in that section of the forest and it shows remarkably tight growth rings that I, in my notes, estimated as being approximated one thirty-second of an inch in width, the growth rings, between each growth ring. And that type of feature is indicative of a tree that has grown for many years in closed canopy or competitive environment. So it's growing slowly and not — the annual increment in growth has been relatively short and, you know, that kind of — the tight growth rings that are indicative of old growth forest also happen to make old growth lumber particularly valuable. So that's just one aside.

Q And how close was this to the tree that you photographed that is shown in Exhibit 120? Was it nearby or was it a ways away?

- A It was within two miles and I can try to refresh my recollection a little bit if that would help.
- 3 Q Sure.

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

- A Yeah. I would estimate that it was within about half a mile.
- 6 Q In its vicinity did you see other such trees of 7 similar size?
 - A Yes, both living and dead.
 - Q Were you able to estimate the age of this tree that's depicted in the photograph, and if so how?
- MS. SIMON: Point of information, which photograph are we talking about?
- MR. CAFFRY: I'm sorry, 121.
 - A To be honest, I don't know if it was this particular tree or not, but we counted the rings on one of the stumps we saw and we hit 200 and stopped at that point.
 - Q Was that consistent with your professional opinion about the age of the old growth stand that you walked through on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
- 20 A Yes, it was. It certainly appeared to me to be at least a 200 year-old forest.
- Q In your professional opinion, is this a significant stand of old growth forest?
- 24 A I think it is.

Q Could you explain why?

A As we talked about earlier, old growth forest is globally endangered as a type of ecosystem around the entire world. And a stand of old growth forest that is approximately two miles in length is significant from a conservation standpoint. I think, you know, the scale of — and when you consider the rarity of such 200 year—old forests or even older possibly, its scale over the entire eastern United States, this track, of course, would be significant.

Q And in your professional opinion, or do you have a professional opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, as to whether or not it was detrimental to this old growth forest to build a Class II community connector snowmobile trail through it? And if so, why?

A I do have an opinion. My professional opinion is that it was detrimental to build a small road through an old growth forest essentially for all the reasons that we have covered already that detail the ecological impacts of similar forest roads. It provides the intrusion of early successional habitat, which is habitat that's of a young age into an older ecosystem type. It provides edge effects and leads directly to the death of numerous old trees that have been living in that place for hundreds of years.

MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor.

(Ronald Sutherland - Direct by Mr. Caffry) THE COURT: Yes. MR. CAFFRY: I have another line of questioning for this witness that might go ten minutes or so. Given the time, I would just point that out to you and whether you want to break for lunch and have me finish after lunch or have him finish before lunch. THE COURT: We can break for lunch now. MR. CAFFRY: Okay. THE COURT: Thank you for telling me about the break. We will take the break now and we will return and recommence at 1:30. MR. CAFFRY: Thank you. (Whereupon a lunch recess was taken.)

Protect the Adirondacks! v. NYS DEC & APA I N D E X Volume IV - Pages 414-504 PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES NAME DIRECT REDIRECT RECROSS CROSS Ronald W. Sutherland PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS NO. DESCRIPTION IDENT. EVID. Photograph ____ 2.4 Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

CERTIFICATION I, Tracie Pamela Hilton, C.S.R, R.P.R., a Senior Court Reporter for the Unified Court System, Third Judicial District of the State of New York, do hereby certify that I attended and reported the foregoing proceedings; that it is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had therein to the best of my knowledge and ability. io, Pamela Hilton Tracie Pamela Hilton Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter Dated: March 31, 2017 2.4

> Tracie Pamela Hilton, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter