STATE OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT

COUNTY OF ALBANY

In the Matter of the Application of PROTECT THE ADIRONDACKS! INC.,

Plaintiff-Petitioner,

Index No.
2137-13

-against-

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION and ADIRONDACK PARK AGENCY,

Defendants-Respondents.

BEFORE: HON. GERALD W. CONNOLLY

Acting Supreme Court Justice

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

JOHN W. CAFFRY, ESQUIRE
CLAUDIA K. BRAYMER, ESQUIRE
WILLIAM F. DEMAREST, III, ESQUIRE

For the Defendants:

LORETTA SIMON, ESQUIRE
MEREDITH G. LEE-CLARK, ESQUIRE
Assistant Attorneys General

Transcript of $\underline{\text{NON-JURY TRIAL}}$ taken in the above matter held at the Albany County Courthouse, Albany, New York.

VOLUME XII

March 27, 2017 - Pages 1156 - 1239

1 (Proceedings continued in open court on 2 March 27, 2017, at 9:30 a.m.) (Defendant's Exhibit CT and Plaintiff's 3 Exhibits 166 through 169 marked for identification.) 5 6 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. How are you. All set to proceed? 7 MR. CAFFRY: Yes, your Honor. 8 9 MS. LEE-CLARK: Your Honor, we have a 10 couple of preliminary issues just to talk about --11 THE COURT: Go right ahead. 12 MS. LEE-CLARK: -- before cross. 13 few months ago I had agreed to argue a case out in 14 the Fourth Department a week from today and so I would be out of town, obviously, on that day. So 15 16 we propose for that morning at 9:30 going through Mr. Linck's transcript. Miss Simon would be able 17 18 to do that in chambers and then put any -- if you 19 were ready to put any rulings on the record, to do 2.0 so at that point. But then as it's only a half day, we would request that we adjourn for the rest 21 22 of that day. We anticipate being done with our 23 case the middle of next week so it would not delay

the court proceedings significantly.

24

THE COURT: Did you talk to opposing

2 counsel?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

MS. LEE-CLARK: I did. I e-mailed opposing counsel on Friday.

THE COURT: Is that acceptable?

MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, she did send an e-mail to Ms. Braymer who was kind enough to forward it to me. I'm not sure that's the best procedure in that it potentially wastes some time. I think you said yourself this conference may only be a half hour, 45 minutes. Even if it's an hour, then there's another hour and a half. I see it as something that could be squeezed in perhaps at the end of the day if a witness finishes up, something like that. I recognize that Ms. Lee-Clark has another commitment but, likewise, Miss Braymer is not here today. She had another commitment in what I will call her other job, so I was able to get Mr. Demarest to come help out today. attorney general's office has many attorneys. Most of them or many of them have been coming in here and sitting and watching. I assume that we could finish up a full day on Monday morning and not potentially waste an hour and a half.

ultimately your call, but that's my position. 1 2 don't care that much one way or another. I just would like to see this thing move along. 3 THE COURT: I would as well. 4 Nevertheless, I do think we need that time and, 5 6 frankly, I'm spending a lot of my time at the end of days and at the beginning of days doing my 7 other responsibilities. So I'm going to grant the 8 9 request. We're going to -- we will meet in my 10 chambers at 10:30 on Monday morning, Monday the --11 MS. LEE-CLARK: I believe it's the 3rd, 12 your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. -- April 3rd to 14 review the transcript to the extent required. We 15 will not have courtroom appearances on that date. MS. LEE-CLARK: We wanted one additional 16 thing. We have reviewed Mr. Signell's notes and 17 18 we don't have any further cross examination at 19 all. 2.0 THE COURT: So give me one moment to 21 e-mail my staff with regard to our scheduling for 22 next week so we don't forget, and then we'll get 23 started.

(Pause)

24

```
THE COURT: All set, then?
 1
 2
                   MS. LEE-CLARK: Yes, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: Please go ahead. Where are
 3
         we?
                   MS. SIMON: I think we're on the cross
 5
         examination still of Tate Connor. Shall I call
 6
 7
         him?
 8
                   THE COURT: Yes.
 9
                   MS. SIMON: Mr. Connor, would you please
10
         come up.
                   (Witness resumed the witness stand)
11
12
                   THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Connor.
13
                   THE WITNESS: Good morning, your Honor.
1 4
                   THE COURT: You understand you're still
15
         under oath, correct?
16
                   THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17
                   THE COURT: Good enough. Mr. Caffry.
18
                   MR. CAFFRY: Yes, your Honor. When we
19
         had finished up on Thursday with Mr. Connor, we
2.0
         had been provided with his file and there were a
         few things that I wanted to question him about
21
22
         that were in his file. I see he's brought what
23
         appears to be his file with him again and I would
24
         ask that I be allowed to review it again and
```

```
perhaps question him. I don't need to take a
 1
 2
         break to review it. I just need to look at it
         unless there's something new in it.
 3
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
                  CROSS EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
 5
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
 6
 7
              Mr. Connor, I recognize this may be a little
    difficult because now I have your documents and you
    don't, but maybe you will remember what's in them.
10
    There's one that's a three-page -- appears to be a
11
    three-page document printed out, unstapled, picture of
12
    you and two children. I assume those are your kids?
13
              Yes, sir.
         Α
1 4
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
15
                   MR. CAFFRY: I'm just trying to identify
         the document so I make sure we're asking about the
16
17
         same document.
18
                   THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
19
              So in the right-hand corner or, I'm sorry,
2.0
    the picture is in the right-hand corner. In the
    left-hand corner are some abbreviations and some
21
22
    numbers. I'd like to ask you what they mean. What
23
    does it mean where it says SEG RM 20? Do you know?
24
              SEG would be abbreviation for segment. RM
```

```
would be an abbreviation for red maple and 20 would be
 1
    the diameter at breast height.
              And then it says the exact same thing
 3
    underneath that. Do you recall that?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              And then the next one says SEG AB 28 SIG
 7
    comma. Can you tell us what that means?
              Could you read it again?
         Α
              SEG AB 28 SIG.
 9
10
         Α
              You said AE?
11
              AB.
         Q
12
                   That would be segment, American beech,
              AB.
13
    and then the number would be the diameter and the SIG
1 4
    would stand for significant stump which refers to a
    note that I made in the work plan regarding tallying of
15
    stumps that stood above the ground to an extent that
16
17
    when cut down flush, they potentially could be confused
18
    with a tree that was cut.
19
              So this was a stump of a tree that had
2.0
    somehow fallen over before you began work on the trail?
21
         Α
              Correct.
22
              What were the first two, the 20-inch red
23
    maples? Where were they located and what was that
24
    about?
```

```
The first one, SEG would stand for segment,
 1
   RM red maple. That stood for segment one. That was a
 2
   live tree. And on segment two, the second line, it's
 3
   the same. There was a tree of the same species and
   size on segment two.
 6
         Q And that was cut? Those were both cut for
   the trail?
 7
              Those were both marked and tallied to be cut
   in the work plan for the trail construction.
10
              And where was the 28-inch American beech.
11
   What segment was that in?
12
              The first two represent segment one and
13
    segment two. The remainder of the list are on segment
14
   three.
15
        Q Following after are three in a row that say
             Can you tell me what those mean?
16
    SM 20 D.
17
              The SM would stand for sugar maple. The
18
    number again would indicate the diameter at breast
   height and the D would denote that they were dead, not
19
2.0
   living.
21
            Were those three trees that were cut in
22
    segment three?
23
              Those are three trees that I marked and
24
    tallied and wrote in the work plan for the settlement
```

```
of the segment three portion of the trail.
 1
 2
              And when you wrote in tally a tree to be cut,
 3
    is it more likely than not that it did eventually get
    cut?
              During the work planning process, I would
 5
    have developed a preliminary tree tally. That tree
    tally would have generally been chronological as I went
 7
    across the trail. If you look at the work plan, you'll
    notice -- the collection work plans, there's a couple
10
    of different methods in which they were displayed, but
11
    generally we tallied in the order that we're removing.
12
    Those trees would have been marked to be removed from
13
    the trail corridor.
14
                   On subsequent visits, after the initial
    work plan had started and the cutting commenced, there
15
    were work plan modifications that may have shifted the
16
    trail one side or another to a tree that was going to
17
18
    be marked and denoted in the work plan modification,
    but I did not keep track of removing from the work plan
19
2.0
    tally any trees that we were not going to cut.
              So basically you can't answer the question?
21
22
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. It's
23
         argumentative.
24
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
```

```
1
              What is SM 36 SIG? That's the next entry.
 2
              The SM would stand for sugar maple.
         Α
    number would indicate the diameter and the SIG would
 3
    stand for significant stump. Again, that's a stump of
    a size large enough that when we flatten that stump, it
 5
 6
    could potentially appear that it was a tree.
              And that was in segment three?
 7
              Yes, sir.
              And then in the last one on that list it says
 9
10
             What is that referring to?
11
              YB would stand for yellow birch. The number
12
    would indicate the diameter at breast height and the D
13
    would indicate that it was dead, no longer living.
1 4
              And that was a tree that you had tallied and
    marked for cutting?
15
16
              That was a tree that was tallied and marked
17
    and put into the work plan.
18
         Q.
              And that was in segment three?
19
              Yes, sir.
2.0
              Following that on the list, on this
    three-page document, there is a heading of brand new
21
22
    trail and road construction. Is that just a list of
23
    trails you have worked on, yes or no?
24
              Yes.
```

```
And likewise there's a section on major
 1
 2
    reroutes and another one major bridge construction.
    Are these all just things that you've worked on?
 3
         Α
              Yes, sir.
 5
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I'd like to
 6
         return Mr. Connor's file to him.
 7
                   THE COURT: Sure.
              Mr. Connor, I've handed you what's been
         Q
    previously admitted into evidence as Exhibits 151 and
    155 to 158. Do you recall testifying about those when
10
11
    you were last on the stand?
12
              I recall testifying on some of the pictures
13
    in this but not all the pictures while I was on the
14
    stand.
15
              Could you clarify that, please?
              Plaintiff's Exhibit 158 I have not seen on
16
17
    the stand. 157 and I don't recall if 151 was presented
18
    to me.
              You are correct about 157 and 158. My
19
2.0
    recollection is 151 you did testify about. Do you
    recall that your attorney had said these were photos
21
22
    taken by Mr. Amadon but we later clarified they were
23
    taken by Mr. Bauer?
24
             At the end of the day?
```

```
1
         Q
              At the end of the day.
              That's what you guys talked about.
 2
         Α
              You recall that discussion?
 3
         Q.
         Α
              Yes, sir.
              Looking first at number 155, is it correct
 5
    that this is a picture of a bridge that you and your
    crew were working on on the Seventh Lake Mountain
 7
    Trail?
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
              And that's you in the yellow shirt in the
    middle?
11
12
              That is me in the yellow shirt, yes, sir.
13
              And in the foreground of the picture, I see
14
    the end of I believe your stringers, they look like
    telephone poles. Do you see that?
15
16
              I see the foreground in the picture, the end
17
    of the stringers, yes.
18
         Q.
              And what is it that they're -- there appears
    to be an object that they're resting on. What is that?
19
2.0
              The footer of the bridge?
              You tell me.
21
22
              Across the bottom of all the stringers in the
23
    foreground of the picture is the footer or abutment for
24
    the bridge. That's the foundation that holds the
```

```
bridge up on the banks.
 1
              And what is that made of?
              That's made of pressure-treated lumber.
 3
              And is that typical of all bridges that you
    built on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
 5
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              Would you look at Exhibit 156 which I believe
    you recall testifying about this previously?
              We spoke about this on Thursday.
 9
10
              Well, more correctly, didn't your attorney
11
    ask you questions about it?
12
         Α
              Yes.
13
              And do you recognize the ATV in the
14
    upper-right corner of the picture?
15
              I do.
         Α
              And was that something that was used in
16
17
    building the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
              That ATV was used in support of building the
18
         Α
    Seventh Lake Mountain Trail.
19
2.0
              And what do you mean in support?
              Well, the ATV did not actually do any
21
22
    construction or work. It just brought materials to the
23
    bridge sites.
24
              All by itself?
```

```
1
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
 2
         argumentative.
                   THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
 3
              Did one of your crew drive it to the site?
              Yes. The ATV is not autonomous. It would be
 5
 6
    need to be driven.
 7
              So you and your crew would have driven it to
    the site in this picture and parked it there?
              The ATV was driven by a human and parked at
 9
10
    that location for this picture. I can't testify to who
11
    actually drove this that day.
12
              Would it have been anybody other than you or
13
    a member of one of your crews?
14
         Α
              No.
15
              And yes or no: It's parked in the woods and
    not within the nine-foot trail tread width?
16
17
              It is parked adjacent to the trail tread,
18
    yes.
19
              It's outside the trail tread?
2.0
              It is outside the trail tread.
         Α
21
              Surrounded by trees on three sides?
         Q.
22
              Surrounded by trees on three sides.
         Α
23
              If you look at Exhibit 157, please. Do you
24
    recognize the vehicles parked in the photograph?
```

```
1
         Α
              Yes.
 2
              Are those also ATVs and UTVs used in support
    of the construction of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
 3
              I do not see any UTVs in this picture. It
    appears that there are ATVs in the picture.
 5
 6
              The item closest to us, it says Polaris.
    What is that?
 7
              That is a six-by-six ATV.
              And do you recognize the scene where this
 9
10
    photograph was taken?
11
         Α
              Yes, I do.
12
              And where is that?
13
              This is just south of Route 28 on the access
1 4
    trail that we used to get to the Seventh Lake Mountain
15
    Trail during construction across from the Seventh Lake
    boat launch.
16
17
              And do snowmobiles use this access road to
18
    access the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
              I don't have firsthand knowledge of whether
19
2.0
    or not snowmobiles are using this.
21
              Is it intended for that purpose?
22
              I believe the intent of this section of trail
    is to allow people to come off the Seventh Lake
23
24
    Mountain Trail to access Seventh Lake.
```

1 On their snowmobiles? On their snowmobiles. How many hours did you and your crews operate 3 ATVs and UTVs on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail and its access roads during the construction of the trail? 5 I do not have a specific tally of hours. Isn't there a DEC policy that requires you to 7 keep track of those numbers? The procedure that I am familiar with would 9 10 involve us recording the days of use and general hours but not specifically two-and-a-half hours versus an 11 12 eight-hour day. 13 Is that commissioner policy CP-17 entitled 1 4 Recordkeeping and Recording of Administrative Use of 15 Motor Vehicles and Aircraft in the Forest Preserve? I believe it's housed in that CP-17. 16 17 And did you file all of the reports or other notices that are required by this policy? 18 MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. 19 2.0 bases. Relevance and beyond the direct exam on the hours recorded. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 22 23 I did not personally write the CP-17 request that covered the motorized actions on the Seventh Lake 24

```
1
    Mountain Trail so I cannot speak to those
    considerations.
              Do you know who did?
 3
              I do not.
              Would it have been somebody -- was this
 5
 6
    project in DEC Region 5?
 7
         Α
              Yes, sir.
              Would it have been somebody else in Region 5?
              It's possible that it could have been someone
 9
10
    else in Region 5 or someone else in DEC.
11
              So is it your testimony that you don't know
12
    who filed these requests and you don't know whether or
    not in fact they were filed?
13
14
              I do not know who filed the requests.
15
              Do you know if they were filed or not?
              I don't -- I don't recall.
16
17
              Would you look now at Exhibit 151. Do you
18
    recall testifying about this the last time you were on
19
    the stand?
2.0
              I had mentioned earlier that I was fuzzy on
    whether or not I had this picture on the stand Thursday
21
22
    but I'm happy to answer any questions right now.
23
              Do you see a white Bobcat excavator in the
24
    middle of the picture?
```

```
1
              I see a white Bobcat mini excavator in the
    middle of the picture.
              And it's got the old DEC blue, green and
 3
    white logo on it, is that correct?
              Yes, it does.
 5
         А
 6
              And so this piece of equipment is or was the
 7
    property of DEC as of 2012?
 8
         A
              Yes.
 9
              And was this something that was used in
10
    construction of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              What does it weigh?
13
              I do not have the specific specs for this
1 4
    excavator but I know for a fact that this excavator
15
    weighs under 10,000 pounds.
16
              And was it more than 5,000?
17
              I would have to see a spec sheet to know for
18
    certain.
19
              So you don't know what it weighs, you just
2.0
    know it was under 10?
21
              Yes, sir.
         Α
22
              What's the brown area in front of the
23
    excavator in the picture?
24
              The brown area in front of the excavator is
```

```
the portion of the trail tread in the process of being
 1
    constructed.
              And would it be safe to assume that this was
 3
    excavated using that excavator?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              And do you see what appears to be a dead log
 7
    behind the excavator across the trail tread?
 8
                   MS. SIMON: Your Honor, I didn't hear
 9
         what that question was. Could we have it
10
         repeated?
11
                   THE COURT: Sure. Cindy if you would,
12
         please.
13
                   (Last question read by the reporter.)
1 4
              Behind the excavator there appears to be a
    rotten log on the forest floor.
15
              Is that something that would have been
16
17
    removed from the trail using the excavator?
18
              For the most part the woody debris that would
    have been encountered in the trail contractor would
19
2.0
    have been thrown off by trail workers working to clear
21
    the corridor. If there was larger woody debris that
22
    they cut up but was not -- or was kind of too big or
23
    cumbersome for them to move out of the way, then
24
    sometimes the machine would be used to move that off
```

```
the trail corridor.
 1
 2
              Do you know that the trail crew actually cut
    that tree down or does it appear that it might have
 3
    rotted and fallen on its own given that it's covered
    with moss?
 5
         A Based on my years of experience and having
 7
    been at this scene, I can say that that tree has been
    there for a period of time before we cut the trail so
    they did not cut the tree down.
10
              And does this excavator, I see it has a
11
    shovel or bucket type attachment on the front. Does it
12
    also have a blade type attachment on the other end?
13
              Yes. It has a backfill blade mounted on the
1 4
    front of the undercarriage.
15
             Is that similar to what a lay person might
    think of as being like a bulldozer blade or a snowplow
16
17
    blade?
18
        Α
              No.
              What would you say it looks like, then?
19
2.0
              It is a fixed piece of metal on a very short
         A
    arm that's hydraulically controlled to go up and down
21
22
    from the undercarriage.
23
              Did you or your crews use any other
24
    excavators on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
```

- 1 A Yes. 2 Q Could you look at Exhibit 158, please. Do you see the orange Kubota excavator in the middle of 3 the picture? 5 A I see the orange Kubota mini excavator in the middle of the picture. 7 Q Did you use that on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail? A I don't know. This picture wasn't taken on 10 the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. 11 Q But I didn't ask about where the picture was 12 taken. Do you recognize that Kubota excavator? 13 A I don't recognize that specific Kubota 14 excavator. 15 Q Did you use any sort of Kubota excavator on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail? 16 17 A Yes, we used a Kubota excavator on the 18 Seventh Lake Mountain Trail that we rented from Abele 19 down here in the Albany area. 2.0 Q Did it look like the one in the picture? I can't see any markings to indicate the 21 22 model number but I'm guessing that they are probably
- 23 fairly similar.
- 24 Q And in that picture on the right side of the

```
machine, do you see a metal object protruding off the
 1
    front that's covered with dirt?
              Yes, I see the excavator's backfill blade.
 3
              And is that used to push dirt on a trail like
    this?
 5
              The purpose of that blade is for
    stabilization while you're using the upper portion of
 7
    the excavator and also for moving bulk material back
    into a hole that you've dug.
10
              Bulk material meaning dirt?
11
              Dirt, stone, whatever the actual material
12
    that the machine is being used for.
13
              How many hours did you and your crews operate
14
    excavators or mini excavators, as you call them, on the
    Seventh Lake Mountain Trail during its construction?
15
              I did not keep a running tally on the hour
16
17
    clock for the mini excavator, either of them.
              Do you have any idea other than a running
18
         Q
19
    tally?
2.0
        Α
              No.
              Again, isn't that the type of thing that is
21
22
    subject to commissioner's policy CP-17 that you
    previously testified about?
23
24
              I would have the same answer as I mentioned
```

```
regarding the ATV access where it would have looked at
 1
 2
    the days that we were operating and not specifically
    the hours. So if we used the mini excavator for
 3
    two-and-a-half hours for the day, then it would have
    just been that the mini excavator was used for a day.
 5
 6
              Do you know whether or not the reports
    required by commissioner's policy CP-17 on
 7
    recordkeeping and reporting were filed with regard to
    the use of excavators on the Seventh Lake Mountain
10
    Trail?
11
              I don't recall as that was almost five years
12
    ago.
13
              You didn't do it yourself, did you?
         Q
1 4
         Α
              I honestly don't remember.
15
              Mr. Connor, I've just handed you Exhibits AF
    and AG which are in evidence which I believe are
16
    photographs you took of the trail, of the Seventh Lake
17
    Mountain Trail, is that correct?
18
19
              Yes, sir.
2.0
              I'd like to try and clarify something. In
    your testimony you defined the term "trail tread" --
21
22
    and I may be paraphrasing, I may not have the exact
    wording so this is what I want to confirm first -- as
23
24
    the durable surface defined within the trail for travel
```

```
Is that correct or is that close enough?
 1
    on it.
 2
              For your purposes of paraphrasing, I think
 3
    you grabbed the concept.
              So in the photos of the Seventh Lake Mountain
    Trail in Exhibits AF and AG, is it true, then, that
 5
    what you would consider to be the trail tread is the
    entire cleared width of the trail because that's where
 7
    the snowmobiles will travel on it?
 9
         Α
              No.
10
         Q.
              What is it?
11
              The trail tread varies throughout the length
12
    of the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail. In places where we
13
    perform bench cuts to create a sustainable trail tread
1 4
    across a slope, those places are benched out to the
15
    full nine-foot width.
16
              Let me clarify the question.
17
                   THE COURT: Hang on, hang on. Let him
         finish, then you can clarify away. Go ahead.
18
19
              In other places where we didn't incorporate
2.0
    bench cutting, where the trail is laid out across a
    flatter, gentler area, there wasn't full trail tread
21
22
    development because you don't need to fully develop the
23
    trail tread for a snowmobile trail. The reason for
24
    developing the trail tread on a spot where you're not
```

bench cutting for a snowmobile trail would be for the 1 non-winter users of that trail. So in an area where there's a bench cut such 3 as Exhibit AF on the second page -- do you see that? I do. 5 А 6 There's a bench cut on the left and then 7 there is a flat area with grass and straw on it in the middle. Do you see that? 9 No. The bench cut is the area with the grass 10 and the straw. The other to the left is the up-slope 11 area adjacent to the bench cut. 12 So the bench cut is, to you, the flat surface 13 on which the snowmobile will travel? 14 The bench cut that I am speaking to is the bench cut of the trail tread where the use will occur 15 on the trail tread. The overall concept of the 16 facility that you're putting in here is called bench 17 cutting that has an associated up-slope and sometimes a 18 19 down-slope area. 2.0 But so between the up-slope and the down-slope, there's a flat area, correct? 21 22 Α Correct. 23 And depending on whether or not it's a curve

or on a steep hill, it's anywhere from 9 to 12 feet

24

wide, correct? 1

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2 The trail tread, the flat area on the bench cut you just mentioned, would be nine feet. If that bench cut wound around a very steep or sharp curve, then it would have the ability to be greater than nine feet. You could be in a situation where you're going down a steep slope and you have a bench cut that is greater than 12 feet, but that would kind of defeat the purpose of putting a bench cut in since that assumes that you're going across the slope and not down the fall line where a steep slope would occur. So anywhere -- and I think I'm understanding

your terminology. Anywhere where there's a bench cut, the 9-foot up to 12-foot wide trail width to you is part of the trail tread?

Yes. If you're doing a bench cut, you do a full bench cut so that it is sustainable and won't erode or slough off. And the sloughing off refers to the technique of partial bench cuts where this is a cut and associated fill, which is a standard practice in road building, but that has the benefit of having compaction equipment to make sure that the filled area on the downhill side is stabilized and normally involves additional support in terms of retainment

24

walls on the down-slope side of the bench cut. So in 1 2 trail construction the only sustainable choice is a full bench cut. 3 Now, you testified earlier about the DEC trail classification system which is appendix nine in 5 the Moose River Plains Wild Forest UMP. Do you recall that? 7 Yes, sir. Α 9 And I've just handed you Exhibit D. Is that 10 the Unit Management Plan? 11 This is the Moose River Plains Wild Forest 12 UMP. 13 And it was adopted I believe in 2011, is that Q. 14 correct? 15 The date on the bottom right corner of the cover is January 2011. 16 17 So when you were building the Seventh Lake 18 Mountain Trail, that was the Unit Management Plan that controlled the building of the trail, is that correct? 19 2.0 This is the UMP that covered the development and building of the trail subsequent to any other at 21 22 the present time guidelines and policies. 23 But as a DEC employee, isn't it true that you

can't construct anything on the Forest Preserve that

```
isn't in compliance with an approved Unit Management
 1
    Plan?
              All structures and improvements on the Forest
 3
    Preserve that are not accepted in the DEC/APA MOU need
    to fall under a Unit Management Plan.
 5
 6
              Turning to pages 325 and 326 which is also
    appendix nine, do you have that before you?
 7
              Yes, sir.
         Α
              And I believe it's your testimony that this
 9
10
    has not been adopted as a formal DEC policy like the
11
    one about use of motor vehicles that you just testified
12
    about but it was used in the High Peaks Unit Management
13
    Plan in 1999 and it's been used in all or most other
14
    UMPs for the Forest Preserve since then, is that
15
    correct?
16
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. That's a
17
         compound question.
18
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
              I believe you testified that this was first
19
2.0
    used in the High Peaks Wilderness Complex Unit
    Management Plan in 1999, is that correct?
21
22
              To my knowledge, yes.
23
              And that since then, it has been used in most
24
    other if not all wild forest and wilderness Unit
```

```
Management Plans for the Forest Preserve in the
 1
   Adirondacks?
              All the UMPs that I've reviewed have this
 3
    document in it since 1999 but I have not reviewed all
   the UMPs.
 5
 6
              And your current assignment includes
    overseeing the management of the High Peaks, correct?
 7
              Yes. In addition to several other units.
         Α
              So you're familiar with that UMP also?
 9
10
         A
              Yes, sir.
11
              Is the one in appendix nine basically the
    same as the equivalent classification system in the
12
13
   High Peaks Unit Management Plan? I'm sorry. Appendix
14
   nine.
15
              The bulk of this appendix nine in this UMP is
    similar to the High Peaks UMPs table that has this
16
17
    classification chart in it.
18
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I'm going to be
19
         asking the witness a number of questions about
2.0
         this chart. Would you like a copy to look at?
                   THE COURT: If you have one, I'd
21
22
         appreciate it. Thank you.
23
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, that has not
24
         been marked as a separate exhibit. It's part of
```

```
Exhibit D.
 1
 2
                   THE COURT: No objection, Counsel?
                   MS. SIMON: He gave you the pages that
 3
         we're looking at? Yeah, no objection.
 5
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
 6
 7
              Mr. Connor, looking at appendix nine of
    Exhibit D which is entitled Trail Classifications, yes
    or no: The first six classes of trails are foot
 9
10
    trails, or hiking trails as you prefer to call them?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
         Q
              And yes or no: Class VII is horse trails?
13
        Α
              Yes.
14
         Q
              And yes or no: Class VIII is ski trails?
15
         Α
              Yes.
16
              Do people also cross country ski on foot
17
    trails or hiking trails --
18
         A
              Absolutely.
19
              -- in the Forest Preserve?
2.0
         Α
              Yes.
              And, again, Class IX, which is on the next
21
22
    page, is mountain bike trails, is that correct?
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
              And do you see on the right side of the
```

document a column labeled maintenance? 1 2 Α I do. And do you recognize where it uses the term 3 tread in most but not all of the trail classifications? 5 Α Yes. 6 And what is the meaning of tread as it's used in this table? 7 To save the Court some time, is there a definition in here I can look at to read or are you 10 just asking about the meaning of the width? I have not seen a definition that I am going 11 12 to direct your attention to. If there is one, I'm not 13 aware of it. Even though, of course, I'm supposed to 14 ask you the questions, I'm glad to clarify my question for you. So, no, I'm not aware of a definition that I 15 am going to direct your attention to so I'm asking you 16 17 what your understanding is. Tread is the surface of the trail where users 18 would be traveling. 19 2.0 And do you see also in most of those descriptions under acceptable maintenance for various 21 22 trail classifications the word clear? 23 Yes. Α And what does that mean to you? Again, I am 24

```
not reading from any particular definition but just
 1
 2
    what it means to you.
              Clear would represent the trail corridor
 3
           The area encompassing the trail tread and
    width.
    adjacent area that's cleared of vegetation to allow
 5
    users to not be impacted by vegetation.
 7
              And are they maintained differently than the
    tread in the clear area?
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. Clarification?
11
                   THE COURT: I didn't understand that.
12
         Hang on. Hang on. I'm still speaking.
13
                   MR. CAFFRY: Sorry.
14
                   THE COURT: I know you were there
         anyway, but let me finish. Maybe you could
15
         rephrase the question for me. Thank you. Go
16
17
         ahead.
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
18
19
              Are the tread and the clear area maintained
2.0
    differently?
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
              Could you explain that?
23
              When you maintain trail tread, the primary
24
    function there is to deal with drainage and preventing
```

24

erosion or impacts from users that would veer off the 1 durable surface of the trail tread. When you maintain 2 3 the cleared width, you're looking at removing the vegetation that is in the prescribed width that is for the safety of the users. 5 And in many of these trail classes it also 7 has the word high preceded by a number, three feet, eight feet, whatever. What is meant by that? That indicates the height of the cleared 9 10 width adjacent or as part of the trail corridor. So if 11 a trail corridor said three feet, then that would be 12 the three-foot width and generally three-foot height of 13 the vegetation management along that corridor. I guess I'm still confused. You say the 14 three feet height of it. Does that mean it's kept 15 below three feet? 16 17 The cleared width and the width referring to 18 high are the components that would make a box that I 19 had testified to, the vegetation box around the trail 2.0 corridor. So generally the cleared width is the area on either side of the -- half of that either side of 21 22 the tread width or tread line is what you would clear 23 of vegetation. And then any height requirements, you

would clear that up to that height. That takes into

account brush that would grow in on the side of the 1 2 trail tread within the trail corridor or branches that 3 are coming from trees adjacent to the trail corridor that are growing into the trail corridor. You remove that vegetation that is within that box. Best 5 management practice would not be that you cut the 7 branch growing in from outside the box at the edge of the box but that you do a proper pruning cut on the tree stem, whatever that is. 10 So some of these clear widths are only 11 three feet high, meaning that if you're taller than three feet high, you're still going to hit the branch? 12 13 I'm still confused by this. 1 4 That's what the document says. And for the 15 narrower trails, the way the vegetation management 16 works out, that becomes just the way the vegetation grows alongside it. If you're maintaining the width, 17 18 you don't run into as many issues with stuff hanging in from the outside. But, yes, that's what the document 19 2.0 says about the height. As a practical matter, is it often necessary, 21 22 though, to clear it above three feet in height? 23 Practically speaking, a trail maintainer 24 would cut branches or other vegetation that is within

the area to which a user standing on the trail tread 1 2 would be impacted by that piece of vegetation growing in or from the side or from above. 3 Looking at appendix nine again and the six classifications of foot trails or hiking trails, yes or 5 The allowable tread width in the system ranges from 14 inches to 26 inches? 7 For the trail classification chart here, the first six classes of trails the tread width does 9 10 indicate 14 inches to 26 inches. Thank you. May I remind you of the judge's 11 instructions regarding answers to yes-or-no questions. 12 13 My apologies if that was a yes or no. Sorry. 14 And yes or no: For hiking trails as indicated on appendix nine, the allowable clear area in 15 the system ranges from three feet to six feet? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 And you've testified that the trail tread of 19 a Class II community connector snowmobile trail can be from 9 feet to 12 feet, correct? 2.0 I testified that the trail development on a 21 bench cut would be out to the full nine-foot width. In 22 23 the case where you were going around a sharp curve and you happen to be bench cutting, that it could be up to 24

```
12 feet but that not the entire length of a trail would
 1
    you develop the trail tread to that width.
              Where it is developed, where the trail tread
 3
    is developed to that width, isn't that more than four
    times the maximum of 26 inches allowed for a foot trail
 5
 6
    in this classification system?
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. We've been over
 7
 8
         this.
 9
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
10
         Q.
              Yes or no: The 12-foot maximum width of the
    trail tread as you defined it of a Class II community
11
12
    connector is twice the maximum allowed clear area of
13
    six feet for hiking trails in this classification
    system?
14
15
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
16
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
17
                   MR. CAFFRY: Could I ask the basis of
18
         that, your Honor.
19
                   THE COURT: Ask your next question,
2.0
         Mr. Caffry.
              Looking now at Class VII of the
21
22
    classification system in appendix nine. That's for
    horse trails, is that correct?
23
24
              Yes.
```

1 Are there any hoarse trails in the Moose River Plains Wild Forest? I do not know firsthand. 3 To your knowledge has DEC built any new horse trails in the Forest Preserve in recent years? 5 6 MS. SIMON: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. I'm not up to speed on what the current status of horse trail development is on Forest Preserve 10 lands outside the areas I'm responsible for. I know 11 the Essex Chain parcel has some horse trail development 12 but I'm not familiar with that. 13 And isn't it true that for horse trails on 1 4 the trail classification system, the tread width is limited to two- to four-feet wide and the clear area 15 eight-feet wide? 16 17 Yes, the document states that. 18 And for ski trails on appendix nine -- are 19 there any designated ski trails in the Moose River Plains Wild Forest to your knowledge? 2.0 I'm not familiar with all the trails in the 21 22 Moose River Plains Wild Forest. 23 And isn't it true that the chart in appendix nine limits the tread width or the clearing, it's a 24

```
1
    little unclear, of tread and/or clearing to six feet
 2
    for ski trails?
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
 3
 4
         was a confusing question.
                   MR. CAFFRY: Well, it's a confusing
 5
 6
         rule.
 7
                   THE COURT: Hang on. The objection is
 8
         overruled.
 9
                   THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase it?
10
                   MR. CAFFRY: Sure.
11
              Isn't it true that for ski trails, Class
12
    VIII, acceptable maintenance on this chart, it says
13
    "tread determined by clearing six feet"?
14
              Yes, that's what it says. And then it says
    that should be slightly wider at turns and steep
15
    sections.
16
17
              Turning to Class IX on appendix nine which is
18
    on page 326, do you see these for mountain bike trails?
19
         Α
              Yes.
20
              And do you see in a column under the heading
    of tread, and the heading is on the prior page, it says
21
22
    new trails to a maximum of four feet, tread width less
23
    than 18 inches on a rolling grade? Do you see that?
24
              Yes.
```

```
1
              Would it be your understanding that the
    maximum of four feet refers to the cleared width?
                   Because it's under the heading of tread.
 3
              So that would be a maximum tread width of
    four feet?
 5
              That's how I would read it.
 7
              And so the 18 inches is allowable depending
    on circumstances but the maximum is still four feet?
              The way that I read this is that the maximum
 9
10
    tread development would be four feet staying under
    18 inches for trails on grades. I would imagine,
11
12
    though I'm not intimately familiar with IMBA, the
13
    International Mountain Biking standards, I would
1 4
    imagine that the smaller width is for their single
    track classification.
15
              And looking at the right-hand column of this
16
    appendix, would it be fair to say that bridges on
17
18
    hiking trails Classes I to VI are limited to being up
    to four logs wide?
19
2.0
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. The document
21
         speaks for itself. We're going through this
22
         line-by-line.
23
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I'm trying to
24
         refer to something he testified to previously.
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: Say that again?
                   MR. CAFFRY: I intend to tie this into
 2
         something he testified to previously.
 3
                   THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow it. You
 5
         may answer.
 6
              Yes, it refers to four logs wide.
 7
              And you testified previously that I believe
    within DEC it would be -- where it refers to one log
    wide, that would be considered to be one foot, or two
10
    logs wide, that would considered to be two feet, is
11
    that correct?
12
              Yes, that would be a general assumption.
13
              And so then under this classification, foot
1 4
    trail bridges are limited to four-feet wide?
15
         Α
              No.
              Can you tell me where it says foot trail
16
17
    bridges can be wider than four logs?
18
              Well, the logs indicate the stringers that
19
    support the bridge. It doesn't give any guidance on
2.0
    the decking material that would be put over the bridge
21
    stringers.
22
            And so the decking may extend slightly over
23
    the edges?
              Depending on the bridge design, yes.
24
```

```
And on some classifications they're limited
 1
    to just two logs wide, is that correct?
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              Mr. Connor, as an employee of the DEC is it
    your responsibility to follow all approved DEC policies
 5
    that apply to your work?
             Yes.
 7
         Α
              Are you familiar with DEC policy CP-38 which
    is entitled Forest Preserve Roads?
10
              I know that that policy exists. I'm not
    familiar enough with it to answer anything without the
11
12
    policy in front of me.
13
              I've just handed you what's been marked
1 4
    Exhibit 168. Is that a copy of that policy?
15
                   THE COURT: Exhibit what? I'm sorry.
16
                   MR. CAFFRY: 168.
17
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
18
         Α
              Yes.
              And you're familiar with it?
19
2.0
              Again, I know this exists. I am not
         A
    intimately familiar with this document so -- but I have
21
22
    read it in the past.
23
            And does that appear to be an accurate copy
    of it?
24
```

```
This has a date issued of 3/31/2006. There
 1
    is a blank under the latest date revised so if we have
 2
 3
    not renewed this since 2006, then I would imagine this
    is an accurate document.
 5
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I move the
 6
         admission of Exhibit 168.
 7
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
         testified he's not familiar with it.
 8
 9
                   THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
10
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, he testified
11
         that he does -- he has read it in the past.
12
                   THE COURT: Mr. Caffry, I was listening
13
         when he testified. I heard what he said.
1 4
         qualified it and said he was not fully familiar
         with it and could not -- in fact did not even
15
16
         adequately identify it. I would request, of
17
         course, in this nonjury trial that counsel always
18
         take a look at evidence and if they can stipulate
         to the foundation of evidence, that they do so.
19
         I'm sure Ms. Simon or Ms. Lee-Clark would be
2.0
21
         willing to do that over the next break.
22
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I just
23
         discovered this document myself. I didn't really
24
         have time to discuss it with them.
```

```
THE COURT: I assumed that that was the
 1
 2
         case, and that being the case, of course I'm not
         requesting a stipulation at this point but rather
 3
         that it be considered. Stipulations are always
         voluntary on both parties' side. Nobody is
 5
 6
         required to enter into a stipulation at any point.
 7
                   Miss Simon, did you want to say
 8
         something?
 9
                   MS. SIMON: I did, your Honor. This was
10
         just handed to me this morning. It's not on the
11
         exhibit list.
12
                   THE COURT: Understood.
13
                   MS. SIMON: I didn't know about it.
1 4
                   THE COURT: I do not mean to imply that
15
         you were required to stipulate to it at this point
16
         or even required to consider stipulating to it at
17
         this point. Go ahead, Mr. Caffry.
18
                   MR. CAFFRY: Just a moment your Honor.
                   (Pause)
19
2.0
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
              Mr. Connor, could you look at Exhibit D, the
21
22
    Moose River Plains Wild Forest Unit Management Plan,
23
    page 69.
              I'm looking at page 69.
24
```

```
1
              And do you see on there a heading that says,
 2
    B, Management Guidelines, and underneath that a
    subheading one, Guiding Documents?
 3
              Yes.
 5
              And then down below there's a list of 12
 6
    items. Do you see that?
 7
         Α
              Yes.
              Can you read the paragraph above that list?
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. He's
 9
10
         reading from a document not in evidence -- oh, I'm
11
         sorry. Withdrawn. Sorry.
12
                   THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead, Mr.
13
         Connor.
1 4
              DEC policy has been developed for the public
    use and administration of Forest Preserve lands.
15
16
    Select policies relevant to the management of this unit
17
    include colon.
18
              And then can you read item 12 on that list?
19
              Forest Preserve roads parentheses CP-38 end
2.0
    parentheses.
              To your knowledge would that be the same
21
22
    policy that I was previously asking you about?
23
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
24
                   THE COURT: Overruled.
```

```
1
                   MS. SIMON: He's already testified --
 2
                   THE COURT: Overruled. If you know.
              That would probably be the same document that
 3
    you had referenced earlier.
              And isn't it true that -- and I am
 5
    paraphrasing your testimony again from the other day so
    correct me if I'm wrong, please -- isn't it true that
 7
    you testified previously that you consider a forest
    road to be a, quote, uninterrupted way with no gaps in
10
    its surface, crowned in the center, resurfaced
11
    regularly, and it's usually 12-to-30-feet wide and
12
    sometimes wider?
13
              Your paraphrasing of my statement generally
1 4
    seems fairly familiar to me.
15
              And is that definition, if you will, or
    description of a forest road, to your knowledge is that
16
    found in DEC policy CP-38, Forest Preserve Roads, which
17
18
    is listed on page 69 of Exhibit D or is that your own
    personal definition?
19
2.0
              As I indicated, I'm not intimately familiar
    with CP-38 so that represents my professional
21
22
    definition and operating understanding.
23
              Mr. Connor, do you still have Exhibit AF
    handy there?
24
```

```
1
         Α
              Yes.
 2
              And as I recall, this is five photographs of
    the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail that you took at some
 3
    point in time in 2013, is that correct?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              And at the point in time in 2013 when you
 7
    took these photos, all work on the trail tread and the
    up-slopes and down-slopes and such adjoining it was
    complete, isn't that correct?
10
              I believe I testified that all the major work
    had been completed. I cannot recall whether or not
11
12
    there was some drainage concerns that we addressed in
13
    2013 but all the major tread and associated work was
1 4
    completed on the trail.
15
              So at that point, aside from what you just
    described, you just had some bridges to finish,
16
17
    correct?
18
         Α
              Yes.
              And would it be fair to say in the first
19
2.0
    three photos in this exhibit, the trail surface is
    covered with a mix of grass, straw and bare dirt?
21
22
              There is green vegetation, I see fernage,
23
    grasses, straw, leaf litter, rocks and some bare dirt.
24
              And on page two, second photograph, what's
```

depicted on the left side of the trail beyond the 1 graded trail surface? Adjacent to the trail tread on the left-hand 3 side is what's referred to as the up-slope of a bench cut. It's for the transition from the trail tread into 5 the natural forest. It's tapered to allow it to blend 7 in with the surroundings and also be at a point where it can resist erosion and vegetation can grow on it. 9 And yes or no: On the right side of the 10 trail is an area where it's what's known as the 11 down-slope and dirt from the construction process was 12 placed there to help create the down-slope? 13 Α Yes. 14 And yes or no: The dominant vegetation on the bench cut or on the trail surface and the 15 down-slope is grass? 16 17 It's green --18 MR. CAFFRY: Objection, your Honor. Ιt 19 was a yes-or-no question. 2.0 My apologies. Yes. Α Now, taking into account that the trail tread 21 22 itself is at least nine feet wide in this picture, as I 23 believe you've testified, how wide is it from the top 24 of the up-slope to the bottom of the down-slope in this

```
picture?
 1
 2
              I would say it varies at multiple points
    along the course of this picture.
 3
              Can you give me the range?
              So close in the foreground of the picture
 5
    where we can fully see the top of the up-slope,
 7
    physically about halfway up the height of the photo,
    the associated up-slope with the trail tread would
    potentially be two to four feet perhaps and the
10
    down-slope appears to be a foot, perhaps two feet.
11
    the middle of the picture on the left-hand side of the
12
    trail there is a tree right near where the trail tread
13
    is so that might be a foot or less on the up-slope
1 4
    side, and then on the down-slope side, again, two to
15
    four feet.
              So at the widest point how wide is the
16
    cleared area depicted in this picture?
17
18
         Α
              The cleared area, again going back to that
19
    first point we talked about in the foreground with the
2.0
    nine-foot trail tread, and if we had say four feet of
    the up-slope, then that cleared area would be 13 feet.
21
22
              And with the down-slope too?
23
              It doesn't appear that any vegetation was
24
    removed on the down-slope.
```

```
1
              How wide is the area where dirt was placed
    and grass is growing on the down-slope?
              Again, that looks like it could vary from a
 3
    foot to three to four feet depending on how the
    material was placed and blended in.
 5
 6
              So potentially up to 17 feet wide the natural
    surface of the forest floor has been disturbed either
 7
    by digging --
 9
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
10
         Q
              -- cutting --
11
                   THE COURT: You may finish.
12
              -- or placement of fill, yes or no?
13
                   THE COURT: What's the objection?
14
                   MS. SIMON: He's testifying. He's
15
         testifying for Mr. Connor. He needs to let Mr.
         Connor answer the question.
16
17
                   THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
18
         Α
              Yes.
              Yes or no: On the up-slope of this bench
19
2.0
    cut, there are areas of exposed dirt with no vegetation
21
    or leaf litter on them?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              It appears to me that the bench cut continues
24
    off the left of the photo, would that be a fair
```

```
1
   assumption?
 2
             Meaning in the back of the photo off to the
    left?
 3
         Q On the left side of the photo, the up-slope
    is cut off by the edge of the photo, is that true?
 5
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              But you're familiar with this location?
         Q.
              Yes.
        Α
 9
              How long is this bench cut?
              I would have to speculate. Estimate probably
10
    30 to 60 feet.
11
12
             Is that typical of the bench cuts that you
13
    built on the Seventh Lake Mountain Trail?
14
             It varied.
15
              But if it varied, what was typical, or do you
    have an estimate of an average?
16
17
              I've never analyzed the links of the bench
18
    cuts but where they were built, they were developed out
19
    to their length because that was what was appropriate
    for putting in a sustainable trail.
2.0
              But you have no idea how --
21
22
              I have no need to quantify or manipulate the
23
    numbers to look at averages.
            Looking at the third and fourth --
24
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: Mr. Caffry, we're going to
         take a brief morning recess now. We're going to
 2
         end today at noon so we're going to take exactly
 3
         10 minutes, folks. We'll return at five minutes
         after 11.
 5
                   (Recess taken after which the
 6
 7
         proceedings continued as follows.)
                   THE COURT: Mr. Connor, come on up, sir.
 9
         Go ahead, Mr. Caffry.
10
                   MR. CAFFRY: Thank you, your Honor.
11
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
12
              Mr. Connor, looking at Exhibit AF still, you
13
    testified about the creation of a bench cut on the
14
    up-slope and the down-slope depicted in this picture.
    Do you know approximately how many cubic yards of soil
15
    were removed in that process?
16
17
              Are we still on the second picture?
18
         Q.
              Yes.
19
         Α
              No.
2.0
              Did you ever attempt to estimate that?
         Q
21
         Α
              No.
22
              Is that something you would have attempted to
23
    estimate on any bench cut?
24
              No.
```

```
1
              Going back to photo number one in that set,
    they're not numbered, but the first page of AF, is
 2
    there a bench cut depicted in that picture?
 3
         Α
              Yes.
              On the right side of the trail as we're
 5
 6
    looking at it?
 7
              Well, I see a bench cut in the left half of
    the picture and the up-slope is on the right-hand side
    of the trail.
10
              And so how long is that bench cut?
              That might be 20 to 40 feet.
11
12
              Looking at the third page of this set of
    photographs, is there a bench cut on the right side of
13
1 4
    the trail?
              The up-slope is on the right-hand side of the
15
    trail in this third picture.
16
17
              And approximately how far up the hillside
18
    does the bench cut go -- I'm sorry, the up-slope?
19
              The up-slope varies. There's a kind of
20
    center in the picture. Just to the right is a large
    tree, so in that case there's not really any up-slope
21
22
    adjacent to the trail because the trail winds around
23
    the tree base and then it extends up. Again, not
24
    having a scale, anything to use as a scale, three to
```

```
four feet, maybe five feet.
 1
 2
              And you're familiar with this location?
 3
              Yes.
              And including any part of the bench cut or,
    I'm sorry, the up-slope which may -- or the bench cut
 5
    as a whole that may not be depicted in this picture,
    how long is the bench cut in this picture?
 7
              This is a view of the same bench cut that's
    pictured in picture two, just from the far angle
    looking back. So I believe I had mentioned on that one
10
11
    that's probably 30 to 50 feet long but I don't recall
12
    my exact...
13
              Looking at the fifth photo in this set,
14
    Exhibit AF, I believe you testified previously there's
15
    a bench cut in this picture with the up-slope on the
    left side, is that correct?
16
17
              Just to clarify, we're talking about the
18
    picture with the people walking on it?
19
              Right. And what appears to be maybe a tire
    in the lower left -- lower right.
2.0
21
              Lower right, that's the camera strap.
22
              Camera strap, okay. So do you see a bench
23
    cut in this picture?
24
              Very subtle one.
```

```
And including any part of it that is not
 1
 2
    shown in the picture, how long is this bench cut?
              I would have to say in the 20- to 50-foot
 3
            The people in the furthest point from the
    picture are obscuring the view.
 5
              Going back to the third and fourth
 7
    photograph, do you see sawed-off logs on the side of
    the trail in each of those photographs?
 9
              On the third photograph I see two chunks of
10
    wood, maybe three, on the left-hand side, the
11
    down-slope, and in the fourth picture there are some
12
    chunks to the looker's right of the trail that I see.
13
              And one of those chunks, as you've described
1 4
    it, has something blue on it. Do you know what that
15
    is?
              In the fourth picture I believe there's a
16
    chunk of wood on the looker's right of the trail. It
17
18
    appears to have some blue paint.
19
              Would that be paint that you or someone under
2.0
    your direction placed on that tree to mark it for
    cutting?
21
22
              Someone from DEC put that paint on the tree.
23
    From my recollection that paint was put on there prior
    to me being involved with the Seventh Lake Mountain
24
```

1 Trail as part of the scouting development portion of 2 segment one. And that tree was then subsequently cut as 3 part of the trail construction process? Yes, sir. 5 A 6 Are chunks of wood with sawed-off ends 7 something that one would typically find in an undisturbed forest in the Adirondacks? 9 Depending on how observant somebody is, they 10 could view remnants of old past logging cuts in the 11 forest. 12 I said in undisturbed forest. Could you answer that question, please? 13 14 If you truly had a chunk of the Forest 15 Preserve that was undisturbed, then you probably would not find a piece of log with a completely flat, 16 17 sawed-off section. 18 Turning now to your Exhibit AG which consists 19 of five photographs and I believe you testified that you took them in 2016. Do you recall that? 2.0 Yes, I testified to that. 21 22 Do any of these photographs show a bench cut? 23 The picture ending with 59 indicates a bench 24 cut.

```
1
              And where on the picture is that?
 2
         Α
              The bench cut is -- the trail tread is where
    the humans that are pictured are hiking, so it's to the
 3
    looker's right of the picture.
              And approximately how long is that bench cut?
 5
 6
              I believe this is a bench cut on segment one
    on a portion of the old carriage road and this is a
 7
    section of bench cut that's probably about 40 feet
    long.
10
              Is there any bench cut shown in the photo
11
    ending with 60?
12
         Α
              No.
13
              Is there any bench cut in the photo ending
         Q.
14
    with 61?
15
         Α
              No.
              Is there a bench cut in the photo ending with
16
17
    62?
18
         Α
              Yes, a small one.
              And would it be fair to say that's on the
19
20
    left side of the photograph?
              Yes. The up-slope is on the left side of the
21
22
    photograph.
23
              And you're familiar with this location?
24
              Yes.
```

- And how long is this bench cut including any 1 2 portion that's not depicted in the picture? 3 I believe my best guess on the picture based on the picture, obviously not with a tape measure, you're probably looking at 20, 20 feet maybe, leading 5 up to and around the tree. And looking at the picture that ends with 7 six -- the number ends with 63, does this depict a bench cut? 10 Technically, yes. Could you describe what you mean by 11 12 technically? 13 This is a bench cut in the bigger sense of 14 putting a bench cut for a travel surface but it is not a bench cut that we built for the Seventh Lake Mountain 15 It is part of the old carriage road that at the 16 17 time of building the Seventh Lake Mountain snowmobile 18 trail, this was a portion of existing DEC snowmobile 19 trail that was routed on that old carriage road that we 2.0 used to get access to the junction of segment one and
- 21 segment two. So the old forest road that you're seeing
- 22 here is a very old bench cut because there is a
- 23 down-slope side to the looker's right, and as you can
- 24 | see, there's some uphill ditching that's associated

```
with the old road. Again, I did not nor did DEC build
 1
 2
    what you see here in this picture.
              With regard to these photographs, as I
 3
    recall, you testified that some of them show that the
    Seventh Lake Mountain Trail was revegetating or had
 5
    vegetation on it, is that correct?
              Yes, sir.
 7
         Α
              And looking at first the one that ends in 59,
    what type of vegetation do you see growing within the
 9
    trail tread?
10
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
11
12
         is extremely repetitive. He on direct answered
13
         questions about these trails and we've already
1 4
         talked about the grass and the growth and the
15
         vegetation.
16
                   THE COURT: Overruled.
17
              So I see some grass and shrub type vegetation
18
    to the left, looker's left, along the edge of the
    green. I see some broad leaf shrubs that I can't
19
2.0
    identify.
21
              Would it be fair to say it's mostly grass?
22
         Α
              Yeah.
23
              Likewise, the one that ends in 62, do you see
24
    that?
```

```
I do.
 1
         Α
 2
              And there is vegetation on the trail in that
    picture, correct?
 3
              Yes. I see grass, some broad leaf shrub, I
 5
    see some ferns.
              And would it be fair to say that it's mostly
 7
    grass?
              This one I think you would be stretching it a
    little, but unless we get an actual survey, but for the
    visual part, it has green vegetation over most of it.
10
11
              And yes or no: When the trail was built, DEC
12
    planted grass on the trail?
13
         Α
              No.
1 4
         Q.
              DEC planted what it calls a conservation mix?
15
         A
              Yes, that's what we planted.
16
              And does that contain grass seed?
17
              I'm not familiar with the exact makeup of the
18
    conservation mix but I do know it has variety of
19
    nonwoody grass and shrub and vegetation species of seed
2.0
    in it.
              Looking at the one that ends in 59 in kind of
21
22
    the left center of the picture, do you see what looks
    to be a drainage ditch?
23
24
              I see the depression in the left center of
```

- 1 | the picture.
- 2 Q And would that be in your opinion a feature
- 3 that would collect water and water would drain down the
- 4 hill in it?
- 5 A Yes. In this location that would serve for
- 6 that purpose. That is the old roadbed from the
- 7 carriage road that was heavily eroded.
- 8 Q And so it's your testimony that this
- 9 depressed area, or whatever you called it, was not
- 10 | something constructed by DEC when it built the trail?
- 11 A Correct. I'm standing at the -- having been
- 12 | the person to take the picture, I'm standing at the top
- 13 of a hill looking at a segment of what was the old
- 14 | trail, which was again on an old carriage road, and
- 15 | right behind me is a stream that had jumped its bank
- 16 and run down the road and heavily gullied and eroded
- 17 | this section. So when we laid out the trail, we
- 18 | shifted the trail over to the looker's right to allow
- 19 us to put in a bench cut so that we could drain water
- 20 off the trail tread so that if water came out of that
- 21 | stream again and went down the slope, it would not
- 22 | erode the trail tread.
- 23 Q And you testified earlier about a section of
- 24 old road where the regrowth of trees was very thick and

1 made it hard to see the ground when you were laying out the trail. Is this that section of old growth? No. This was an existing department 3 snowmobile trail on an old carriage road. In segment one? 5 6 On segment one. 7 Where was that section that you testified about that was too thick to see the ground? Portions of segment one not on the existing 9 10 snowmobile trail. 11 And looking again in the area to the left 12 side of the trail where you say water would run down, 13 do you see, right at the bottom photo, do you see an 1 4 area of bare sand and gravel, yes or no? 15 Α No. Yes or no: There are no erosion control 16 17 measures shown in the vicinity of that bare sand and 18 gravel? 19 Can you repeat the question? 2.0 Yes or no: The picture does not depict any erosion control measures in the vicinity of the exposed 21 22 sand and gravel? 23 Α No. 24 Is it your testimony that there is an erosion

```
control measure depicted in the vicinity of the bare
 1
 2
    sand and gravel?
              Yes. There is a series of rock, little check
 3
    dams that were put in this drainage. I believe what
    we're seeing is sedimentation and the leaf litter had
 5
    been caught on the first one and filled up.
 7
              Mr. Connor, I've handed you what's been
    admitted as Exhibit AJ. Those are seven photographs
    that you took of other trails other than the Seventh
10
    Lake Mountain Trail, is that correct?
11
              Yes, sir.
12
              And looking at photo one, I believe you
13
    testified this is the Hurricane Mountain Trail?
1 4
         Α
              Yes, sir.
15
              And is that in a wilderness area?
16
              Yes.
         Α
17
              And appears to be under construction still,
18
    is that correct?
19
         Α
              Yes, sir.
2.0
              And was this a new trail or a relocation?
         0
              It was a relocation.
21
22
         Q
              Of an existing hiking trail?
23
              Of an existing trail, yes.
         Α
24
              And you supervised this project?
```

```
1
         Α
              Yes.
         0
              And was there a work plan for the project?
              Yes, sir.
 3
             And I believe you testified that this
    photograph depicts a bench cut, is that correct?
 5
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              And how tall is the up-slope on the bench cut
    in this picture?
              Understanding that I have no scale in here,
 9
10
    two to three feet tall in vertical height.
11
              And do you know the approximate angle of the
12
    up-slope?
13
              It appears to be greater than 45-degree
14
    angle.
15
            And how wide is the disturbed area depicted
    in this picture?
16
17
              Again, not having a specific scale, if you
18
    went from the top of the up-slope to the right-hand
    side where the material was placed on the down-slope,
19
    you're probably looking at an area of six to eight feet
2.0
21
    in width total.
22
         Q And what classification is this trail under
    the trail classification system you previously
23
24
    testified about?
```

```
1
              I'd have to double check the work plan or the
 2
    UMP to be exactly sure, but I believe it's either Class
    III or Class IV trail.
 3
              At the time this picture was taken, was the
    work on this bench cut completed?
 5
         Α
              No.
 7
              What remained to be done?
              They would have went through and cleaned this
    up making sure that the material on the right side of
    the tread, the downhill side, was tapered off so that
10
11
    it wouldn't create a berm or a lip on the edge of the
    trail tread which would prevent water from being shed
12
13
    directly off the trail tread to the side. If you left
1 4
    that lip there, then the water could run down the trail
15
    tread and erode it.
16
              And other than that, it's complete?
17
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
18
         the third time he's asked him if it's complete.
19
                   THE COURT: Mr. Caffry, what's the
2.0
         relevance of that question?
21
                   MR. CAFFRY: Withdrawn.
22
              Turning to photos two and three, I believe
23
    you testified those are the Pharaoh Lake Trail?
24
              Yes, sir.
```

```
And that's in the Pharaoh Lake Wilderness
 1
    Area?
              The Pharaoh Lake Wilderness Area.
 3
              And you, as I understand it, you testified
    you previously supervised that unit?
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              And did you supervise the work depicted in
    photos two and three?
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
              And you referred to the trail as I believe an
11
    old Jeep road, is that correct?
              Yes. Prior to state ownership, people were
12
13
    able to drive into Pharaoh Lake, rent boats and enjoy
1 4
    the place. Motor vehicle access was allowed into the
15
    lake on this route.
            So this trail as it's now called wasn't built
16
17
    originally as a hiking trail, was it? Yes or no.
18
         Α
              No.
19
              But now it's used for hiking and skiing?
2.0
         Α
              Hiking, skiing and horses.
21
              And horses?
         Q.
22
         Α
              Yes, sir.
23
              And that was going to be my next question.
24
    How long since the state closed it to motor vehicle
```

```
access?
 1
 2
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
         Relevance.
 3
                   THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
              I would have to consult the UMP or some other
 5
         Α
 6
    stuff to indicate when motor vehicle access to Pharaoh
    Lake was ceased.
 7
              Are you familiar with that?
 9
              Yes.
10
              Mr. Connor, I've handed you what's been
11
    marked Exhibit 169. Do you have that?
12
              Yes.
         Α
13
              Have you had a chance to look at it?
14
         A
              Yes, sir.
15
              Would it be fair to say that that is the
16
    cover page and approximately five pages from the
17
    Pharaoh Lake Wilderness Complex Unit Management Plan?
18
         Α
              Yes, sir.
19
              And you're familiar with that document?
2.0
         Α
              Yes.
21
              And would you agree that the pages I've given
22
    you from that document are true and accurate copies of
23
    those pages?
24
                   MS. SIMON: Your Honor, objection on
```

1 relevance to the 1992 Pharaoh Lake Wilderness 2 Complex UMP in this proceeding. We're talking about construction trails in 2012. 3 MR. CAFFRY: May I be heard, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. 5 6 MR. CAFFRY: Mr. Connor, through his 7 testimony, two photographs of a trail in the Pharaoh Lake Wilderness area were introduced into 9 evidence. He testified about them and just now he 10

said he could tell us when it was closed to human use if he could look at the Unit Management Plan.

So I think it's relevant as to the question of the prior use of what he called the Jeep road and to

when it was closed to motor vehicle use and

therefore I believe it's relevant.

11

12

13

1 4

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's

Exhibit AJ, Miss Simon, photos two and three, they were proffered as examples of foot trails with erosion control measures made on them so that the Court might compare those to the -- presumably, you tell me -- so that the Court might compare those to Class II community connector trails and make a determination that they are --

MS. SIMON: That they have the same

```
1
         features.
                   THE COURT: Same characteristics.
 2
                   MS. SIMON: Same characteristics.
 3
         That's the purpose it was proffered.
                   THE COURT: So the objection is
 5
 6
         overruled. You may look and respond to the
         question if there was a question, otherwise just
 7
         take a look at it and Mr. Caffry can restate his
 9
         question.
10
                   MR. CAFFRY: Could you read back the
11
         last question, please.
12
                   (Last question read by the reporter.)
13
              Yes. If you photocopied these from the UMP,
         Α
1 4
    I would trust that you did not alter them and
    they're --
15
16
                   MR. CAFFRY: Your Honor, I move that
17
         Exhibit 169 be admitted.
18
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
                   THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
19
2.0
         For what it's worth, I would suggest rather than
         go through all of 169, why don't you just ask him
21
22
         if it refreshes his recollection to answer your
23
         question. I understand your use of it but I don't
         think we need all of 169 in evidence at this
24
```

```
1
         point.
    BY MR. CAFFRY:
 3
              Mr. Connor, looking at what's been marked as
    Exhibit 169, does that refresh your recollection as to
    when the Pharaoh Lake Trail was closed to motor vehicle
 5
    use? In particular if you could look at the bottom of
    page 54, top of 55.
 7
              With my memory refreshed, I would be able to
    say that in the mid-'70s the DEC barricaded the access
10
    to Pharaoh Lake at Mill Brook which is a location that
11
    is about half the distance from the paved road to
    Pharaoh Lake.
12
13
              Would it be fair to say, Mr. Connor, that
1 4
    this former road, now trail, is very heavily used?
15
                   THE COURT: We're talking about at the
16
         current time?
17
                   MR. CAFFRY: Yes.
18
         Q.
              Since its closure as a road as depicted in
19
    photos two and three, is it heavily used?
2.0
              I would say that that is the most popular
    access to Pharaoh Lake, and trail head data, if it was
21
22
    available, would probably back up that it has a
    substantial amount of use.
23
              And I believe you testified that photos two
24
```

```
1
    and three depict a process known as turnpiking, is that
    correct?
 3
              Yes, sir.
              And why was the turnpiking needed on this
    trail in your opinion?
 5
              The portions of this trail that are in the
    worst condition are the result of this being --
 7
    historically being a motor vehicle use road, and past
    practice was the removal of rocks from the road
10
    corridor to remove obstacles; and as a result of both
11
    the method that was used to construct the trail and the
    rock removal, the road has become -- the old roadbed,
12
13
    which is the official trail, became the low point in
1 4
    the surrounding area so that drainage would flow into
    the trail tread and this turnpiking was done to help
15
16
    mitigate erosion.
17
              When you say the past practice was to remove
18
    rocks, who would have done that?
19
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
2.0
                   THE COURT: Overruled. If you know,
21
         sir.
22
              Whoever would have been maintaining the road
    when it was a motor vehicle road.
23
24
              And so if the department owned the land, it
```

```
would have been maintaining the road and it would have
 1
    removed the rocks?
              Prior to 1976 when the road was closed to
 3
    motor vehicle access?
 5
         0
              Correct.
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor.
 6
 7
                   THE COURT: Sustained. Calls for
         speculation. Don't answer.
              How wide is the trail as depicted in photos
 9
10
    two and three?
11
              The trail tread's right around six feet.
12
    you went from the edge of the rocks to edge of the
13
    rocks, it might be a little wider than that.
1 4
              How wide is the -- does that include the
15
    ditch on the right side?
16
              Regarding photo two, the ditch would be in
17
    addition to what I just mentioned.
18
              So the total would be at least eight feet?
19
              Eight feet.
2.0
             And even though it was closed in the mid
         0
    '70s, this area never naturally revegetated?
21
22
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
23
                   THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
24
         You're not restricted to a yes or no.
```

```
1
                   There were portions of this that had
 2
    revegetated. Prior to doing this project, we conducted
    a reclamation of this entire trail length which had
 3
    been ill-maintained for years so in some places the
    width was not much wider than a foot. So we cut the
 5
    vegetation back and this is the beginning -- well, this
    was a multiphase process of dealing with tread and
 7
    drainage issues. This was one of those after the
    vegetation was dealt with.
10
              But in some areas is it true that the
11
    vegetation had not grown back?
12
                   THE COURT: That the vegetation had not
13
         grown back?
14
                   MR. CAFFRY: Had not grown back.
15
              There were sections where there was exposed
    bedrock and areas where there was standing water that
16
17
    there was no vegetation but the sides of the road had
18
    grown in considerably. The only place where vegetation
    aside from what I just mentioned was not growing was on
19
    the portions of the trail tread where the users had
2.0
    been walking.
21
22
              And in photo four I believe you testified
    that this was a ladder on the Orebed Trail on Gothics,
23
24
    which is in the High Peaks?
```

```
1
         Α
              Yes, sir.
              And yes or no: Ladders like this are not
 2
    built on snowmobile trails?
 3
         Α
              No.
              You're saying ladders like this are built on
 5
 6
    snowmobile trails?
              No, they're not. Yes, they're not built.
 7
              And do you know the -- this is in the High
    Peaks which you're currently responsible for, that's
10
    correct?
11
              Yes, sir.
12
              Do you know the classification of this trail?
13
              I would have to look at the UMP to see where
1 4
    it falls in the classification trail.
15
              Is this ladder new?
              I did not oversee the construction of it but
16
17
    this was a replacement or -- sorry -- this was the
18
    construction of -- new construction I believe at some
    point after 2011 or before that. The timeline is a
19
2.0
    little foggy in my mind.
21
              But it was done before you took over the High
22
    Peaks?
23
              Yes, sir.
24
              And isn't it true, yes or no, that there is
```

```
no more than about nine or ten ladders like this on the
 1
 2
    entire Adirondack Forest Preserve?
                   MS. SIMON: Objection. Relevance.
 3
                   MR. CAFFRY: May I be heard, your Honor?
 5
                   THE COURT: Briefly.
 6
                   MR. CAFFRY: These photographs were
 7
         offered as examples of typical foot trails in the
         Adirondacks. I'm just trying to get to that
 9
         question.
10
                   THE COURT: Objection is overruled. You
11
         may answer.
12
                   THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question
13
         again?
14
                   MR. CAFFRY: Could you read the question
15
         back?
                   THE COURT: Mr. Caffry, just when you're
16
17
         asking some of these yes-or-no questions, you're
18
         asking them as negative questions so I'm going to
         ask you, to avoid confusion both for yourself and
19
2.0
         for me and for the transcript later on, when you
         ask these yes-or-no questions, try to state them
21
22
         in the affirmative even though that may not be
23
         your personal style with respect to these, but I'm
         going to ask you -- you don't have to but I'm
24
```

```
1
         going to ask you to try to do that.
 2
                   MR. CAFFRY: I'll do my best to avoid
         double negatives.
 3
                   THE COURT: Why don't you ask this
         question over again rather than have it read back.
 5
 6
              Yes or no: There's only about nine or ten at
 7
    most ladders like this in the entire Adirondack Forest
    Preserve?
 9
         Α
              No.
10
              Do you know of more than that?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
             How many do you think there are?
                   MS. SIMON: Calls for speculation.
13
        Objection.
14
15
                   THE COURT: Overruled. If you can
16
         answer.
17
              In the multiples of 10s. In the High Peaks
18
    alone I would say that there is the vast majority of
    ladders like this. The Wilcox Lake Wild Forest has
19
2.0
    ladders like this on Crane Mountain. Various other
21
    mountains around the Forest Preserve have similar
22
    ladders.
23
              Is it true that under the trail
24
    classification system that you previously testified
```

```
about, the ladders such as this are limited to very
 1
    steep sections of trail?
              Was that a yes-or-no question?
 3
              Is it true?
              These ladders would be employed whenever
 5
    appropriate to stop impacts to natural resource
 7
    degradation. Predominantly they are used in areas
    where the trail is poorly routed directly at the fall
    line of a very steep grade.
10
             Could you look at Exhibit D, appendix nine,
11
    page 325.
12
              I'm looking at Exhibit D, page 325, appendix
13
    nine.
1 4
              And under the heading of Acceptable
    Maintenance for Class III trails, can you read what it
15
    says about ladders?
16
17
              Under Class III trails, it says ladders only
    to protect exceptionally steep sections.
18
19
              And what does it say under Class IV trails?
2.0
                   MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. The
         document speaks for itself. He's read from this
21
22
         more than once already today.
23
                   MR. CAFFRY: He didn't read from this
24
         section, your Honor, this subject.
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
 2
         Go ahead.
              Ladders on exceptionally steep rock faces.
 3
              Would you agree that the photo number four
    shows an exceptionally steep rock face?
 5
              We would be in agreement, yes.
              Looking at photo five, I believe you
 7
    testified that this was Avalanche Lake?
 9
         Α
              Yes, sir.
10
              And isn't this what is commonly known as the
11
    Hitch-Up Matildas?
12
         Α
              No.
13
              What is it commonly known as?
1 4
         Α
              Well --
15
              What's on the picture?
         Q
              This is a ladder going up over a big boulder
16
17
    on the lake. The Hitch-Ups that you are speaking of
18
    are out of sight behind the boulder on the sheer rock
    face where it goes down into the water.
19
2.0
              But it's all part of a system, if you will,
    to get people along the lake, correct?
21
22
              Yes. But what you're seeing here is not part
23
    of the Hitch-Ups.
24
              What's in the foreground of the picture?
```

```
1
              The foreground on the looker's right is a
 2
    wooden walkway leading to the base of a ladder that
    goes up over a rock.
 3
              Looking at number six, photo six -- do you
    have that in front of you?
 5
         Α
              Yes, sir.
 7
              This is the Indian Pass Trail?
         Q
              Yes, it's Indian Pass Trail from the south.
 9
              And this is also in the High Peaks Wilderness
10
    Area?
11
         Α
              Yes, sir.
12
              And I believe you testified that this depicts
13
    a form of turnpiking?
14
         Α
              Yes, sir.
              And did you manage this project?
15
16
              I did.
         Α
17
              And was this trail also formerly an old Jeep
18
    road?
19
                  It was an industrial land skid trail.
2.0
              So built by a timber company for logging
21
    trucks?
22
              They may have used this for a winter road but
    mostly evidence indicates that it was a skid trail.
23
              What do you mean by a skid trail?
24
```

1 So a skid trail is traveled by a machine 2 that's designed to go off a developed road onto ground to pull out trees and logs. So a skidder or bulldozer 3 or another machine for that purpose would travel on a skid road. 5 6 And why was it necessary to put in the 7 turnpiking? The trail was severely wet. There was poor drainage across the entire trail -- well, the entire 9 10 old roadbed for an extensive length of time. 11 And what was the purpose, then, of putting 12 the turnpiking in? 13 The turnpiking was put --14 MS. SIMON: Objection, your Honor. 15 is repetitive. 16 THE COURT: It is my recollection that 17 it's so far been directly repetitive of the direct 18 examination of Mr. Connor. That doesn't necessarily serve as grounds for an objection 19 2.0 unless it becomes repetitive of the examination by Mr. Caffry of Mr. Connor. Is it your recollection 21 22 that Mr. Caffry already questioned Mr. Connor on this? 23 24 MS. SIMON: On this trail?

```
1
                   THE COURT: Yes.
 2
                   MS. SIMON: I thought the prior question
         just referenced it.
 3
                   THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
         I assume that Mr. Caffry is going to something
 5
 6
         non-repetitive and is laying the groundwork to do
 7
         so.
             You may answer.
              So we put this turnpiking in so that we could
    deal with the erosion and drainage issues on the trail
10
    by developing a raised tread that was higher than the
11
    old width of the -- sorry -- the base of the road
12
    width. We could have durable surface for hikers to
13
    travel on and this would allow us to drain water that
    was in the trail corridor area to the downhill side and
1 4
15
    creating a dryer trail tread that would allow
    concentrated use on that section so that the rest of
16
17
    the open area can start to stabilize.
18
         Q
              And it appears from the picture it's made
19
    with rocks and sand and gravel, is that correct?
2.0
         Α
              Yes.
21
              Where did those materials come from?
22
              The area that you see in the picture.
23
    Materials were taken from -- rocks were picked off from
24
    the old roadbed where the erosion and past use had
```

```
exposed them and they were lined up to create the
 1
 2
    framework of the turnpiking, and then rocks were taken
    and placed withinside that framework to create the
 3
    foundation for the turnpiking so that it could rise
    above the adjacent soil and drainage patterns.
 5
 6
              So yes or no: This was created entirely with
   native materials found on the site?
 7
              Yes.
         A
 9
              Yes or no: There were no human-made
   materials used in that construction?
10
11
                   THE COURT: I don't understand the
12
         question. You'll rephrase for me?
13
                   MR. CAFFRY: Withdrawn.
14
         Q.
              Looking at photo seven --
15
                   THE COURT: Just to be clear,
16
         Mr. Caffry, I have no problem with your question.
17
         I hope you understand that. I didn't understand
18
         it so I wanted to be clear so I knew what the
19
         answer meant. So if you want to revisit that area
2.0
         with Mr. Connor, you're more than welcome to.
21
                   MR. CAFFRY: No. I think actually the
22
         first question covered that adequately so I'll
23
         withdraw it.
24
                   THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
              Mr. Connor, looking at your photo seven, I
    believe you testified this is the Cascade Trail in the
 2
    High Peaks Wilderness Area?
 3
              Yes, sir.
 5
              And that's within the area you're responsible
 6
    for managing?
 7
              Yes.
         Α
              And is this the trail that goes from Route 73
    up Cascade Mountain?
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
              And isn't it true that this is one of the
    most heavily-used trails in the entire High Peaks?
12
13
              It is true that this is a heavily-used trail.
1 4
    It's probably our most-visited mountains, but
    technically, with your request to trail use, the
15
    Van Hoevenberg Trail, because it serves multiple
16
17
    different mountains, has higher trail traffic. But
18
    this is the most heavily-used mountain in the High
19
    Peaks.
2.0
              And you said it was 20 feet wide in places?
              There are places that the impacts from use
21
22
    have grown to 20 feet in width or more.
23
              And under the DEC trail classification system
    in the High Peaks Wilderness UMP, what class of trail
24
```

```
is this?
 1
 2
              In order to answer that with certainty, I'd
    have to look at the classification chart given the fact
 3
    that I manage over 428 miles of public trails.
    apologize for not knowing each of those trails
 5
 6
    specifically.
 7
              Would it be fair to say that no matter what
    class it is, it's wider than the desired width for a
    trail of that classification?
 9
10
                   MS. SIMON: Objection.
                   THE COURT: Overruled. If you can
11
12
         answer.
13
              On-the-ground conditions, given the
1 4
    appearance of this trail, is wider than the
    classification. It is important to remember that the
15
    use that is creating this size does not reflect DEC's
16
17
    management actions and attempts which would be to try
18
    to concentrate and bring in the sides of the trail and
    we do that predominantly by --
19
2.0
                   MR. CAFFRY: Objection, your Honor.
         Going beyond the scope of the question.
21
22
                   THE COURT: Overruled. You may finish.
23
              -- by focusing on addressing drainage issues.
24
    And by addressing drainage issues both with getting
```

water off the trail or creating a durable trail tread 1 2 that can shed water, we can focus the use and avoid 3 braided trails or people expanding off the intended trail surface. Is the drainage ditch, or whatever you called 5 6 it, depicted in this picture part of the effort to address that problem that you just described? 7 Α Yes, sir. THE COURT: Mr. Caffry, if that's it for 9 10 your questions of Mr. Connor with regard to AJ, 11 we'll break for the day today unless you have just 12 one or two more questions to clear something up or some area with him and we'll recommence tomorrow. 13 1 4 If you have one or two more, I can give you --15 MR. CAFFRY: I may have a few more about 16 AJ and then I have a couple other areas to go 17 into. But I know you want to finish at noon so I 18 have no problem breaking now. 19 MS. SIMON: Your Honor, I have a 2.0 question. We spoke at the bench at the end of last week. We have our expert and one of our 21 22 other DEC witnesses that are not available next 23 week for various reasons. I don't know if we can 24 have any idea of how much longer it's going to go

with Mr. Connor or whether we should resume 1 2 tomorrow. I'm concerned that these people are not 3 going to get in. THE COURT: Why don't we go off the 4 record. We're broken. Off the record for the day 5 6 and, Counsel, you can approach. 7 (Proceedings adjourned at 11:59 a.m.) 8 9 <u>CERTIFICATION</u> 10 11 I, Cindy Affinati, Official Court Reporter for the Unified Court System, Third Judicial District of 12 13 the State of New York, do hereby certify that I 14 attended and reported the foregoing proceedings; that it is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings 15 had therein to the best of my knowledge and ability. 16 17 18 Cindy Affinati 19 Official Court Reporter 2.0 21 22 23 24

Ι	Ν	D	Ε	Χ

March 27, 2017 - Pages 1156 - 1239

WITNESSES

DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES Direct Cross Redirect Recross

TATE M. CONNOR (Cont'd) 1160