

# **Genetic Characterization of *Canis* Samples**

**Submitted by Joseph Butera**

Report Prepared for Joseph Butera  
12 July 2022

By: Audrey Wilson and Matthew Harnden

Reviewed by: Michael Donaldson and Christopher Kyle

Trent University  
Natural Resources DNA Profiling & Forensic Centre  
2140 East Bank Drive  
Peterborough ON Canada  
K9L 1Z8

## Purpose:

Provide a genetic characterization of a single *Canis* sample submitted by Joseph Butera.

## Executive Summary:

The sample submitted yielded sufficient DNA to allow for genetic assignment among Canadian *Canis* categories in context of an in-house reference database. The sample was of an admixed nature predominated by Great Lakes wolf ancestry.

## Items Receipt:

One 15 mL tube was received by the Natural Resources DNA Profiling and Forensic Centre on March 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2022. The tube contained a tongue sample cut into two pieces, suspended in ethanol. The tube was labelled as follows:

Sample: 85-pound canid's tongue for DNA testing  
Collected: 1/15/2022, New York State  
By: Joseph Butera  
83-37 267 St. Floral Park, NY 11004 USA  
[LorJoewolf@J420.com](mailto:LorJoewolf@J420.com)

The sample was given the laboratory ID “CAN\_T” and stored in a refrigerator upon arrival.

## Results Summary:

A CAN\_T mtDNA sequence was assigned a *C. lycaon* haplotype and inferred ancestral origins according to Wilson et al. 2003 (**Table 1**). We identified the sample as male based on Zfx/Zfy amplification for sex identification (**Table 1**). We obtained a genotype for the sample at 12 microsatellite loci (**Table 2**) and conducted an ancestry proportion test in *STRUCTURE* (**Table 1**).

## Conclusion:

Laboratory ID	Genetic assignment based on microsatellite data and ancestry Q-value > 80% in context of current <i>Canis</i> database
CAN T	admixed; predominated by Great Lakes wolf ancestry

**Table 1. Summary of sexing, mtDNA haplotype, and nuDNA microsatellite results**

Laboratory ID	Type	Sex (Zfx/Zfy)	mtDNA Haplotype and Inferred Ancestral Lineage		Microsatellite Loci (of 12)	Microsatellite Inferred Ancestry					
						Dog	SK Coyote	Eastern Coyote	NT Grey Wolf	Great Lakes Wolf	Eastern Wolf
CAN_T	Tissue	Male	CRL5_C3	<i>C. lycaon</i>	12	0.007	0.009	0.003	0.345	0.526	0.109

**Table 2. Microsatellite genotyping data**

Laboratory ID	Microsatellites locus (cxx#)																							
	225		200		123		377		250		204		172		109		253		442		410		147	
CAN_T	163	167	211	217	147	153	154	156	131	131	200	200	156	156	149	149	109	109	166	166	114	118	172	174

## **Methods:**

### ***DNA Extraction***

A small portion of tissue was taken using a scalpel and digested in 0.5 mL of tissue lysis buffer plus 40 µL of Proteinase K. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer protocols. The sample was processed in duplicate.

### ***DNA Amplification***

The mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region was amplified and sequenced with primers AB13279 and AB13280. PCR and sequencing conditions used as described in Wheeldon et al. (2010) with the following adjustments in amplification conditions: an initial denaturing at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension at 72°C for 2 minutes. Amplified products were separated on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. Amplified products were cleaned with ExoSAPIT (ThermoFisher) and sequenced with BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (ThermoFisher).

For sex amplification, DNA was amplified at a region of the Zfx/Zfy genes on the X and Y-chromosomes and a region of the Sry gene on the Y-chromosome (P1-5EZ and P2-3EZ: Aasen and Medrano 1991; Y53-3C and Y53-3D: Fain and LeMay 1995). Sex-specific amplified products were visualized under UV light.

12 autosomal microsatellite loci (cxx225, cxx200, cxx123, cxx377, cxx250, cxx204, cxx172, cxx109, cxx253, cxx442, cxx410, and cxx147) were amplified as three multiplex reactions for each sample in a total reaction volume of 15 µL with 1X Qiagen Multiplex Mastermix (Qiagen), 0.12 µM of primers, and 2 µL of DNA. Products were amplified under the following conditions: 94°C for 15 minutes, 32 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 56-58°C for 90 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, with a final extension at 60°C for 45 minutes.

### ***Sequenced Analysis***

The mtDNA control region was sequenced using an ABI 3730 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were edited and aligned in the software package MEGA v11 and consensus sequences were aligned with sequences of known haplotypes from the NRDPFC *Canis* database consisting of published haplotypes from Wilson et al 2003, Rutledge et al 2010, and Wheeldon et al. 2010. Additionally, sequences were compared to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). Haplotypes were assigned based on the NRDPFC database, and in reference to any identical sequences in the NCBI database. Putative species of origin were assigned to each sample based on the mtDNA sequences in context of published haplotypes and putative ancestry origin according to Wilson et al. (2003).

### ***Microsatellite Genotyping***

All autosomal microsatellite amplifications were analyzed on an ABI 3730 (Applied Biosystems) genetic analyzer.

### ***Inferring Ancestry Proportion using STRUCTURE***

To infer *Canis* ancestry proportions of CAN\_T, we used an in-house database consisting of 350 reference genotypes from samples assigned to Ontario (ON) *Canis* groups (60 Great Lakes wolf, 60 eastern wolf, 60 eastern coyote), 60 ON domestic dog, 60 Saskatchewan (SK) coyote (unhybridized *C. latrans*), and 50 Northwest Territories (NT) grey wolf (unhybridized *C. lupus*). We estimated population clustering and ancestry proportions using *STRUCTURE* v2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000, Falush et al. 2003, 2007) with default settings. We implemented the F-model (i.e., the correlated allele frequencies model) and ran the admixture model 50 times at  $K = 1$  through  $K = 10$  for  $5.0E+5$  iterations following an initial burn-in of  $2.5E+5$  iterations. Results were evaluated using the online tool CLUMPAK vbeta (Kopelman et al. 2015), where we retrieved *STRUCTURE* plots and admixture proportions (Q-values) across the runs. We assigned the individual to populations based on a Q-value threshold of 0.800 (note, this value is a commonly used threshold in the literature, but other values could be applied); otherwise, we considered the individual to be admixed.

## References:

- Aasen E, and Medrano J. 1991. Amplification of the Zfy and Zfx Genes for Sex Identification in Humans, Cattle, Sheep and Goats. *Biotechnology*. 8: 1279-81.
- Fain SR, and LeMay JP. 1995. Gender identification of humans and mammalian wildlife species from PCR amplified sex linked genes. *Proc. Am. Acad. Forensic Sci.* 1:34.
- Falush D, Stephens M, and Pritchard JK. 2003. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*. 164: 1567-1587.
- Falush D, Stephens M, and Pritchard JK. 2007. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Molecular Ecology Notes*. 7:574-578.
- Kopelman NM, Mayzel J, Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA, and Mayros I. 2015. CLUMPAK: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 15: 1179-1191.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, and Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure from multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*. 155:945–959.
- Rutledge L, Garroway C, Loveless K, and Patterson BR. 2010. Genetic differentiation of eastern wolves in Algonquin Park despite bridging gene flow between coyotes and grey wolves. *Heredity*. 105:520-531.
- Wheeldon TJ, Patterson BR, and White BN. 2010. Sympatric wolf and coyote populations of the western Great Lakes region are reproductively isolated. *Molecular Ecology*. 19:4428-4440.
- Wilberg MJ, and Dreher BP. 2004. GeneCap: a program for analysis of multilocus genotype data for non-invasive sampling and capture-recapture population estimation. *Molecular Ecology Notes*. 4: 783-785.
- Wilson PJ, Grewal S, McFadden T, Chambers RC, and White BN. 2003. Mitochondrial DNA extracted from eastern North American wolves killed in the 1800s is not of gray wolf origin. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 81(5): 936-940.